Network layer

- transport segment from sending to receiving host
- on sending side encapsulates segments into datagrams
- on receiving side, delivers segments to transport layer
- network layer protocols in *every* host, router
- router examines header fields in all IP datagrams



Two Key Network-Layer Functions

- *forwarding:* move packets from router's input to appropriate router output
- *routing:* determine route taken by packets from source to destination
  - *routing algorithms*

Analogy (driving):

- routing: process of planning trip from source to destination
- forwarding: process of getting through single interchange

Datagram Forwarding table



4 billion IP addresses, so rather than list individual destination address list *range* of addresses (aggregate table entries)

Datagram Forwarding table

Destination Address Range	Link Interface
11001000 00010111 00010000 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00010111 11111111	0
11001000 00010111 00011000 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011000 11111111	1
11001000 00010111 00011001 00000000 through 11001000 00010111 00011111 11111111	2
otherwise	3

IPv4 datagram format



IP Addressing: introduction

- *IP address:* 32-bit identifier for host, router *interface*
- *interface:* connection between host/router and physical link
  - router's typically have multiple interfaces
  - host typically has one interface
  - IP addresses associated with each interface



223.1.1.1 = 11011111 00000001 00000001 00000001

223	1	1	1

Subnets

- IP address:
  - subnet part (high order bits)
  - host part (low order bits)
- *What's a subnet ?*
  - device interfaces with same subnet part of IP address
  - can physically reach each other without intervening router



network consisting of 3 subnets

Subnets

Recipe

- to determine the subnets, detach each interface from its host or router, creating islands of isolated networks
- each isolated network is called a subnet.



Subnet mask: /24

IP addressing: CIDR

CIDR: Classless InterDomain Routing

- subnet portion of address of arbitrary length
- address format: a.b.c.d/x, where x is # bits in subnet portion of address

subnet part | host part

11001000 00010111 00010000 00000000

200.23.16.0/23

IP addresses: how to get one?

Q: How does a *host* get an IP address?

- hard-coded by system admin in a file
  - Windows: control-panel->network->configuration->tcp/ip->properties
  - UNIX: /etc/rc.config
- DHCP: Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol: dynamically get address from a server
  - "plug-and-play"

IP addressing: the last word...

Q: How does an ISP get block of addresses?

A: ICANN: Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers

- allocates addresses
- manages DNS
- assigns domain names, resolves disputes

IPv6

- Initial motivation: 32-bit address space soon to be completely allocated.
- Additional motivation:
  - header format helps speed processing/forwarding
  - header changes to facilitate QoS

  IPv6 datagram format:
  - fixed-length 40 byte header
  - no fragmentation allowed

IPv6 Header (Cont)

Priority: identify priority among datagrams in flow
Flow Label: identify datagrams in same "flow"
(concept of "flow" not well defined)
Next header: identify upper layer protocol for data



Other Changes from IPv4

- *Checksum*: removed entirely to reduce processing time at each hop
- *Options:* allowed, but outside of header, indicated by "Next Header" field
- *ICMPv6 (Internet Control Message Protocol)* : new version of ICMP
  - additional message types, e.g. "Packet Too Big"
  - multicast group management functions

Transition From IPv4 To IPv6

- Not all routers can be upgraded simultaneous
  - How will the network operate with mixed IPv4 and IPv6 routers?

- *Tunneling:* IPv6 carried as payload in IPv4 datagram among IPv4 routers
- *Dual stack:* Both IPv4 and IPv6 protocol implemented in the routers
- *Translation:* When transiting, translate between protocols (information lost)

Tunneling



Logical view:
A
B
E
F
tunnel
IPv6
IPv6
IPv6
IPv6
Physical view:
A
B
E
F
IPv6
IPv6
IPv4
IPv4
IPv6
IPv6

Tunneling



Logical view:
A
B
tunnel
E
F
IPv6
IPv6
IPv6
IPv6
Physical view:
A
B
C
D
E
F
IPv6
IPv6
IPv4
IPv4
IPv6
IPv6
Flow: X
Src: A
Dest: F
data
Src:B
Dest: E
Flow: X
Src: A
Dest: F
data
Src:B
Dest: E
Flow: X
Src: A
Dest: F
data
Flow: X
Src: A
Dest: F
data
A-to-B:
IPv6
B-to-C:
IPv6 inside
IPv4
B-to-C:
IPv6 inside
IPv4
E-to-F:
IPv6

Routingalgoritmer

Hur skapas innehållet i routingtabellerna??

Graph abstraction



Graph: G = (N,E)

N = set of routers = { u, v, w, x, y, z }

E = set of links ={ (u,v), (u,x), (v,x), (v,w), (x,w), (x,y), (w,y), (w,z), (y,z) }

Remark: Graph abstraction is useful in other network contexts

Example: P2P, where N is set of peers and E is set of TCP connections

Graph abstraction: costs



- $c(x,x')$ = cost of link (x,x')
  - e.g., $c(w,z) = 5$
- cost could always be 1, or inversely related to bandwidth, or inversely related to congestion

Cost of path $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_p) = c(x_1,x_2) + c(x_2,x_3) + \ldots + c(x_{p-1},x_p)$

Question: What's the least-cost path between u and z ?

Routing algorithm: algorithm that finds least-cost path

Routing Algorithm classification

Global or decentralized information?

Global:

- all routers have complete topology, link cost info
- "link state" algorithms

Decentralized:

- router knows physically-connected neighbors, link costs to neighbors
- iterative process of computation, exchange of info with neighbors
- "distance vector" algorithms

Static or dynamic?

Static:

- routes change slowly over time

Dynamic:

- routes change more quickly
  - periodic update
  - in response to link cost changes

A Link-State Routing Algorithm

Dijkstra's algorithm

- net topology, link costs known to all nodes
  - accomplished via "link state broadcast"
  - all nodes have same info
- computes least cost paths from one node ("source") to all other nodes
  - gives *forwarding table* for that node
- iterative: after *k* iterations, know least cost path to *k* destinations

Notation:

- c(*x,y*): link cost from node *x* to *y*; = ∞ if not direct neighbors
- D(*v*): current value of cost of path from source to destination *v*
- p(*v*): predecessor node along path from source to *v*
- N': set of nodes whose least cost path definitively known

Dijkstra's Algorithm

```
Initialization:
  N' = {u}
  for all nodes v
    if v adjacent to u
        then D(v) = c(u,v)
    else D(v) = ∞

 Loop
   find w not in N' such that D(w) is a minimum
   add w to N'
   update D(v) for all v adjacent to w and not in N' :
       D(v) = min( D(v), D(w) + c(w,v) )
   /* new cost to v is either old cost to v or known
    shortest path cost to w plus cost from w to v */
 until all nodes in N'
```

Dijkstra's algorithm: example

Step	N'	D(v) p(v)	D(w) p(w)	D(x) p(x)	D(y) p(y)	D(z) p(z)
0	u	7,u	3,u	5,u	∞	∞
1	uw	6,w		5,u	11,w	∞
2	uwx	6,w			11,w	14,x
3	uwxv				10,v	14,x
4	uwxvy					12,y
5	uwxvyz					

Notes:

- construct shortest path tree by tracing predecessor nodes
- ties can exist (can be broken arbitrarily)



Dijkstra's algorithm: another example

Step	N'	D(v),p(v)	D(w),p(w)	D(x),p(x)	D(y),p(y)	D(z),p(z)
0	u	2,u	5,u	1,u	∞	∞
1	ux	2,u	4,x		2,x	∞
2	uxy	2,u	3,y			4,y
3	uxyv		3,y			4,y
4	uxyvw					4,y
5	uxyvwz					



Dijkstra's algorithm: example (2)

Resulting shortest-path tree from u:



Resulting forwarding table in u:

destination	link
v	(u,v)
x	(u,x)
y	(u,x)
w	(u,x)
z	(u,x)

Dijkstra's algorithm, discussion

Algorithm complexity: *n* nodes

- each iteration: need to check all nodes, *w*, not in N
- $n(n+1)/2$ comparisons: $O(n^2)$
- more efficient implementations possible: $O(n\log n)$

Oscillations possible:

- e.g., link cost = amount of carried traffic



initially … recompute routing … recompute … recompute

Distance Vector Algorithm

Bellman-Ford Equation (dynamic programming)

Define

$d_x(y)$:= cost of least-cost path from x to y

Then

$$d_x(y) = \min_v \{c(x,v) + d_v(y)\}$$

where *min* is taken over all neighbors *v* of *x*

Bellman-Ford example



Clearly, $d_v(z) = 5$, $d_x(z) = 3$, $d_w(z) = 3$

B-F equation says:

$$d_u(z) = \min \{ c(u,v) + d_v(z), \; c(u,x) + d_x(z), \; c(u,w) + d_w(z) \}$$

$$= \min \{2 + 5, \; 1 + 3, \; 5 + 3\} = 4$$

Node that achieves minimum is next hop in shortest path ➜ forwarding table

Distance Vector Algorithm

- $D_x(y)$ = estimate of least cost from x to y
  - x maintains distance vector $\mathbf{D}_x = [D_x(y): y \in N]$
- node x:
  - knows cost to each neighbor v: $c(x,v)$
  - maintains its neighbors' distance vectors. For each neighbor v, x maintains $\mathbf{D}_v = [D_v(y): y \in N]$

Distance vector algorithm

Basic idea:

- from time-to-time, each node sends its own distance vector estimate to neighbors
- when x receives new DV estimate from neighbor, it updates its own DV using B-F equation:

$$D_x(y) \leftarrow \min_v\{c(x,v) + D_v(y)\} \quad \text{for each node } y \in N$$

- under minor, natural conditions, the estimate $D_x(y)$ *converge to the actual least cost* $d_x(y)$

Distance Vector Algorithm

Iterative, asynchronous:
each local iteration caused by:

- local link cost change
- DV update message from neighbor

Distributed:

- each node notifies neighbors *only* when its DV changes
  - neighbors then notify their neighbors if necessary

Each node:

wait for (change in local link cost or msg from neighbor)

recompute estimates

if DV to any dest has changed, *notify* neighbors

$$D_x(y) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(y),\ c(x,z) + D_z(y)\} = \min\{2+0\ ,\ 7+1\} = 2$$

$$D_x(z) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(z),\ c(x,z) + D_z(z)\} = \min\{2+1\ ,\ 7+0\} = 3$$

node x table

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	2	7
y	∞	∞	∞
z	∞	∞	∞

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	0	2	3
y	2	0	1
z	7	1	0

node y table

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	∞	∞	∞
y	2	0	1
z	∞	∞	∞

node z table

cost to

from	x	y	z
x	∞	∞	∞
y	∞	∞	∞
z	7	1	0

time



$D_x(y) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(y),\ c(x,z) + D_z(y)\}$
$= \min\{2+0\ ,\ 7+1\} = 2$

$D_x(z) = \min\{c(x,y) + D_y(z),\ c(x,z) + D_z(z)\}$
$= \min\{2+1\ ,\ 7+0\} = 3$

node x table

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	7
y	∞	∞	∞
z	∞	∞	∞

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	3
y	2	0	1
z	7	1	0

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	3
y	2	0	1
z	3	1	0

node y table

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	∞	∞	∞
y	2	0	1
z	∞	∞	∞

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	7
y	2	0	1
z	7	1	0

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	3
y	2	0	1
z	3	1	0

node z table

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	∞	∞	∞
y	∞	∞	∞
z	7	1	0

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	7
y	2	0	1
z	3	1	0

from \ cost to	x	y	z
x	0	2	3
y	2	0	1
z	3	1	0

time →



Distance Vector: link cost changes

Link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- updates routing info, recalculates distance vector
- if DV changes, notify neighbors



"good news travels fast"

t_0 : *y* detects link-cost change, updates its DV, informs its neighbors.

t_1 : *z* receives update from *y*, updates its table, computes new least cost to *x* , sends its neighbors its DV.

t_2 : *y* receives *z*'s update, updates its distance table. *y*'s least costs do *not* change, so *y* does *not* send a message to *z*.

Distance Vector: link cost changes



Link cost changes:

- good news travels fast
- bad news travels slow - "count to infinity" problem!
- 44 iterations before algorithm stabilizes: see text

Poisoned reverse:

- If Z routes through Y to get to X :
  - Z tells Y its (Z's) distance to X is infinite (so Y won't route to X via Z)
- will this completely solve count to infinity problem?

Comparison of LS and DV algorithms

Message complexity

- LS: with n nodes, E links, O(nE) msgs sent
- DV: exchange between neighbors only
  - convergence time varies

Speed of Convergence

- LS: $O(n^2)$ algorithm requires O(nE) msgs
  - may have oscillations
- DV: convergence time varies
  - may be routing loops
  - count-to-infinity problem

Robustness: what happens if router malfunctions?

LS:

- node can advertise incorrect *link* cost
- each node computes only its *own* table

DV:

- DV node can advertise incorrect *path* cost
- each node's table used by others
  - error propagate thru network