#### **DSP Design – Lecture 6**

#### Unfolding

#### **Dr. Fredrik Edman**

#### fredrik.edman@eit.lth.se





### **Retiming Formulation** ω(e) = weight of edge e = # of delays r(x) = retiming values



r(v) = # of delays
transferred from outgoing edges to incoming
edges of node v with
w(e) = # of delays on
edge e

epetitior

 $w_r(e) = #$  of delays on edge e <u>after</u> retiming

#### Valid retiming if all $\omega_r$ (e) $\ge 0$ for all edges!

# **Cutset Retiming**

Con etition Cutset: A set of edges that if removed, or cut, results in two disjoint graphs.



# Slow Down by k

#### Replace each D by kD



Clock	
0	$A0 \rightarrow B0$
1	$A1 \rightarrow B1$
2	$A2 \rightarrow B2$

Repetition

After 2-slow transformation





 $T_{clk}$ = 2t.u.  $T_{iter}$ =2×2t.u. =4t.u.

- Input new samples every alternate cycles.
- null operations account for odd clock cycles.
- Hardware utilized only 50% time

#### Unfolding Chapter 5



# Unfolding

- Unfolding is a structured way to achieve parallel processing
- Unfolding creates a program with more than one iteration
- J is called the unfolding factor

#### Applications

- Reveal hidden concurrencies so that the program can be scheduled to a smaller iteration period  $T_{\infty}$
- Parallel processing
- Bit-serial and Digit-serial

Unfolding in software is called "loop unrolling" or "loop unwinding"

- assembly programming
- compiler theory

# Example: Loop unrolling + Software Pipelining





```
• Org. C-code = 250k cc
```

- Mod. C-code = 90k cc
- Hand Opt. = 50k cc



3

3

3

# **Example: Loop unrolling**

Example: A procedure in a computer program is to delete 100 items from a collection.

This can be accomplished by means of a for-loop which calls the function delete(item\_number) 100 times.

If this part of the program is to be optimized, and the overhead of the loop requires significant resources compared to those for the delete(x) loop, unwinding can be used to speed it up as shown below.

Normal loop	After loop unrolling
<pre>int x; for (x = 0; x &lt; 100; x++) {     delete(x); }</pre>	<pre>int x; for (x = 0; x &lt; 100; x += 5) {     delete(x);     delete(x + 1);     delete(x + 2);     delete(x + 3);     delete(x + 4); }</pre>

### **Unfolding = Parallel Processing**



In a 'J' unfolded system each delay is J-slow ⇒
 if input to a delay element is x(kJ + m) ⇒
 the output is x(J(k-1) + m) = x(kJ + m - (J).) □ J samples

# Example: "unfolding by hand"



$$y(n) = ay(n-9) + x(n)$$

Unfold the system 2-times (J=2)  $\Rightarrow$ 

Begin by replacing *n* with Jk+0, 1, ..., J-1. In this case we get  $\begin{cases} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{cases}$ 

$$\begin{cases} n = 2k \\ n = 2k + 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} y(2k) = ay(2k-9) + x(2k) \\ y(2k+1) = ay(2k-8) + x(2k+1) \end{cases}$$

# Example: "unfolding by hand"

We have that 
$$\begin{cases} y(2k) = ay(2k-9) + x(2k) \\ y(2k+1) = ay(2k-8) + x(2k+1) \end{cases}$$

The input to a delay element can be described by x(kJ + m).

After *J* unfolding, the output from the delay element can be described as x(J(k-1) + m). Thus, the above equations can be expressed as

$$\begin{cases} y(2k) = ay(2(k-5)+1) + x(2k) \\ y(2k+1) = ay(2(k-4)+0) + x(2k+1) \end{cases}$$

From above we can see that the inputs to the system are x(2k), x(2k+1) and the constant *a*, the outputs are y(2k) and y(2k+1). The terms (k-5) and (k-4) relates to the number of delays in the two branches (y(2k) and y(2k+1)) in the unfolded system.



### Definitions

# $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is the floor of x, largest integer $\leq x$

# $\begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix}$ is the ceiling of *x*, smallest integer $\geq x$

### $a^{0}/_{0}b$ remainder after a/b

# Examples

x	Floor $\lfloor x \rfloor$	Ceiling $\begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix}$
-1.1	-2	-1
0	0	0
1.01	1	2
2.9	2	3
3	3	3

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix}$$
 is the floor of *x*, largest integer  $\leq x$   
 $\begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix}$  is the ceiling of *x*, smallest integer  $\geq x$ 

### Example

### $a^{\prime}_{0}b$ remainder after a/b

In arithmetic, the remainder is the integer "left over" after dividing one integer by another to produce an integer quotient (integer division).

$$a = 43$$
  
 $b = 5$ 
 $43 = 8 \times 5 + 3 => a\%b = 43\%5 = 3$ 

# **General Algorithm for unfolding**



Step 2. For each edge  $U \rightarrow V$  with *w* delays in the original DFG, draw the J edges  $U_i \rightarrow V_{(i+w)\%J}$  with  $\lfloor (i+w)/J \rfloor$  delays for i = 0, 1, ..., J-1

# **Properties of unfolding**



• Unfolding preserves the number of delays in a DFG  $\lfloor w/J \rfloor + \lfloor (w+1)/J \rfloor + ... + \lfloor (w+J-1)/J \rfloor = w$ 

Unfolding preserves precedence constraints

• J-unfolding of a loop with  $w_1$  delays in the original DFG  $\Rightarrow$  gcd( $w_1$ , J) loops in the unfolded DFG. Each loop contains  $w_1$ /gcd( $w_1$ , J) delays and J/ gcd( $w_1$ , J) copies of each node.

• Unfolding a DFG with iteration bound  $T_{\infty}$  results in a J-unfolded DFG with iteration bound  $JT_{\infty}$ .

#### **Relation Unfolding and Iteration Bound**



$$T_{\infty} = 9/9 = 1$$

JT<sub>∞</sub> But we process 2 samples



#### **Relation Unfolding and the Critical Path**

If edge with w<J ⇔ (J-w) paths with zero delay and w paths with 1 delay



### Applications of Unfolding: Sample Period Reduction

- Case 1 : A node in the DFG having computation time greater than  $T_{\infty}$ .
- Case 2 : Iteration bound is not an integer.
- Case 3 : Longest node computation is larger than the iteration bound  $T_{\infty}$ , and  $T_{\infty}$  is not an integer

#### **Sample Period Reduction: case 1**



### Sample Period Reduction: case 1

The original DFG cannot have sample period equal to the iteration bound because a node computation time is more than iteration bound



#### Sample Period Reduction: case 1

If the computation time of a node 'U',  $t_u$ , is greater than the iteration bound  $T_{\infty}$ , then  $\lceil t_u/T_{\infty} \rceil$  unfolding should be used.

$$t_u = 4 \text{ and } T_{\infty} = 3$$
  
 $\boxed{4/3} = 2 - \text{ unfolding}$ 



### Sample Period Reduction: case 2

The original DFG cannot have sample period equal to the iteration bound because the iteration bound is not an integer

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

If a critical loop bound is of the form  $t_l/w_l$  where  $t_l$  and  $w_l$  are mutually co-prime, then  $w_l$ -unfolding should be used.

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Mutally Co-Prime**

- Two integers *a* and *b* are co-prime if the only positive integer that divides both of them is 1.
- For example, the integers 6, 10, 15 are coprime because 1 is the only positive integer that divides all of them.

### Sample Period Reduction: case 2 (2)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Sample Period Reduction: case 3** The original DFG cannot have sample period equal to the iteration bound because the longest node computation is larger than the iteration bound $T_{\infty}$ , and $T_{\infty}$ is not an integer

The minimum J that achieves the iteration bound is the minimun value of J such that  $JT_{\infty}$ is an integer and is greater or equal to the longest node computation time

# Sample Period Reduction: case 3 Basically case 3 = case I + case II

The minimum J that achieves the iteration bound is the minimun value of J such that  $JT_{\infty}$  is an integer and is greater or equal to the longest node computation time.

Ex: Assume  $T_{\infty}=4/3$  and  $t_{U,max}=6$ 

If 
$$J \cdot T_{\infty} \ge t_{U,\text{max}}$$
 then  $J \cdot \frac{4}{3} \ge 6 \Longrightarrow J = 6$ 

### **Parallel Processing and Unfolding**

Parallel processing can be performed by unfolding (chapter 3)

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Parallel Processing Techniques**

#### **Word-level Parallel Processing**

 Unfolding a word-serial architecture by J creates a word-parallel architecture that processes J words per clock cycle

#### **Bit-level Parallel Processing** Bit-serial processing

• One bit is processed per clock cycle and a complete word is processed in W clock cycles, where W is the word-length.

#### **Bit-parallel processing**

• One word of W bits is processed every clock cycle

#### **Digit-serial processing**

 N bits are processed per clock cycle and a word is processed in W/N clock cycles, where N is referred to as the digit size

#### **Bit-Level Parallel Processing**

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$a_3 a_2 a_1 a_0 \rightarrow \textbf{Bit-serial} \rightarrow b_3 b_2 b_1 b_0$$

![](_page_31_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Bit-serial adder**

Bit-serial can be seen as a time-multiplexed architecture, in this example on addition (i.e. 1 iteration) takes 4cc.

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **Unfolding of Switches**

- The following assumptions are made when unfolding an edge  $U \rightarrow V$  containing a switch :
  - > The wordlength W is a multiple of the unfolding factor J, i.e. W = W'J.
  - > All edges into and out of the switch have no delays.

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Unfolding of Switches**

- The following assumptions are made when unfolding an edge  $U \rightarrow V$  containing a switch :
  - > The wordlength W is a multiple of the unfolding factor J, i.e. W = W'J.
  - > All edges into and out of the switch have no delays.
- If so, an edge  $U \rightarrow V$  can be unfolded as:
  - > Write the switching instance as

➢ Draw an edge from the node  $U_{u\%J}$  ⇒  $V_{u\%J}$ ,

which is switched at time instance ( $W'I + \lfloor u/J \rfloor$ ).

![](_page_35_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### **Example:** Unfolding of Switches, J=3

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

> Write the switching instance as

#### **Example:** Unfolding of Switches, J=3

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

> Write the switching instance as

$$WI + u = J(W'I + \lfloor u/J \rfloor) + (u\%J)$$

$$9I+1=3(3I + \lfloor 1/3 \rfloor) + (1\%3) = 3(3I + 0) + 1$$

$$9I+5=3(3I + \lfloor 5/3 \rfloor) + (5\%3) = 3(3I + 1) + 2$$

$$Switched at$$
time instances

#### **Example:** Unfolding of Switches, J=3

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

> Write the switching instance as

WI + u = J(W'I + 
$$\lfloor u/J \rfloor$$
) + (u%J)  
9I+1=3(3I +  $\lfloor 1/3 \rfloor$ ) + (1%3) = 3(3I + 0) + 1  
9I+5=3(3I +  $\lfloor 5/3 \rfloor$ ) + (5%3) = 3(3I + 1) + 2  
> Draw an edge from the node  $\bigcup_{U\%J}$  ⇒  $\bigvee_{U\%J}$  I.e.  
 $U_1 \Rightarrow V_1$  and  $U_2 \Rightarrow V_2$ 

# **Example:** Unfolding of Switches, J=3 9]+1,5 (31+0) (3|+1) $9I+1=3(3I + \lfloor 1/3 \rfloor) + (1\%3) = 3(3I + 0) + 1$ $9I+1=3(3I + \lfloor 5/3 \rfloor) + (5\%3) = 3(3I + 1) + 2$ Switched at time instances switched at time instance ( $W'I + \lfloor u/J \rfloor$ ), I.e.

 $U_1 \Rightarrow V_1$  at (3I+0) and  $U_2 \Rightarrow V_2$  at (3I+1)

# Switch with multiple instances

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

WI + u = J(W'I +  $\lfloor u/J \rfloor$ ) + (u%J)

To unfold the DFG by J=3, the switching instances are as follows 12/+1 = 3(4/+0) + 1 12/+7 = 3(4/+2) + 1 12/+9 = 3(4/+3) + 012/+11 = 3(4/+3) + 2

 $(\mathbf{U}_{2})$ 

# Switch with multiple instances

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **End of Lecture**