# DSP Design - Lecture 6 

## Unfolding

## Dr. Fredrik Edman

## fredrik.edman@eit.lth.se

## Retiming

$$
\text { Loop bound }=\frac{\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{j}}}{\boldsymbol{W}_{\boldsymbol{j}}} \text { loop computation time }
$$

## Retiming does not change

- delay in loop
- the iteration bound


Critical path $=4$ Loop bound =6/2=3

Critical path $=6$ Loop bound $=6 / 2=3$
...but it changes the critical path!

## Retiming Formulation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega(e)=\text { weight of edge } e=\# \text { of delays } \\
& r(x)=\text { retiming values }
\end{aligned}
$$


$r(v)=\#$ of delays transferred from outgoing edges to incoming edges of node $v$ with $w(e)=$ \# of delays on edge e
$w_{r}(e)=\#$ of delays on edge e after retiming
$\omega_{r}(e)=\omega(e)+r(V)-r(U)$
Valid retiming if all $\omega_{r}(e) \geq 0$ for all edges!
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## Cutset Retiming

Cutset: A set of edges that if removed, or cut, results in two disjoint graphs.


Cutset Retiming
Add $k$ delays to edges going one way and remove $k$ delays from ones going the other.


## Slow Down by k

Replace each D by kD
(1)


| Clock |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathrm{A} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} 0$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathrm{~A} 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} 1$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathrm{~A} 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} 2$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{clk}}=2 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{u} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{iter}}=2 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

After 2-slow transformation


| Clock |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathrm{A} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} 0$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathrm{~A} 1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} 1$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathrm{~A} 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} 2$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{clk}}=2 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{u} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\text {iter }}=2 \times 2 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{u} \\
& =4 \mathrm{t} . \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Input new samples every alternate cycles.
- null operations account for odd clock cycles.
- Hardware utilized only $50 \%$ time


## Unfolding Chapter 5

## Unfolding

- Unfolding is a structured way to achieve parallel processing
- Unfolding creates a program with more than one iteration
- $J$ is called the unfolding factor

Applications

- Reveal hidden concurrencies so that the program can be scheduled to a smaller iteration period $\boldsymbol{T}_{\infty}$
- Parallel processing
- Bit-serial and Digit-serial

Unfolding in software is called "loop unrolling" or "loop unwinding"

- assembly programming
- compiler theory


# Example: Loop unrolling + Software Pipelining 

| cc oper |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 5 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 |
| 6 | 2 |  |  | 3 |
| 7 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1 |  |  |  |

## GSM Speechcoder

- Org. C-code = 250k cc
- Mod. C-code = 90k cc
- Hand Opt. = 50k cc

| $\square$ Iteration 1 | $\square$ Iteration 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Iteration 2 | $\square$ Higher order |
| Iterations |  |

## Example: Loop unrolling

Example: A procedure in a computer program is to delete 100 items from a collection.
This can be accomplished by means of a for-loop which calls the function delete(item_number) 100 times.

If this part of the program is to be optimized, and the overhead of the loop requires significant resources compared to those for the delete( $x$ ) loop, unwinding can be used to speed it up as shown below.
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## Unfolding $\equiv$ Parallel Processing

## 2-unfolded

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0} \rightarrow B_{0}=>A_{2} \rightarrow B_{2}=>A_{4} \rightarrow B_{4}=>\ldots \ldots \\
& A_{1} \rightarrow B_{1}=>A_{3} \rightarrow B_{3}=>A_{5} \rightarrow B_{5}=>\ldots .
\end{aligned}
$$

2 nodes \& 2 edges \& 2 delays

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\infty}=(1+1) / 2=1 \mathrm{ut}
$$



4 nodes \& 4 edges \& 2 delays

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\infty}=2 / 2=1 \mathrm{ut}
$$

- In a ' $J$ ' unfolded system each delay is $J$-slow $\Rightarrow$ if input to a delay element is $x(k J+m) \Rightarrow$ the output is $x(J(k-1)+m)=x(k J+m-J)$. $\square$


## Example: "unfolding by hand"



$$
y(n)=a y(n-9)+x(n)
$$

Unfold the system 2-times $(\mathrm{J}=2) \quad \Rightarrow$
Begin by replacing $n$ with $J k+0,1, \ldots J-1$. In this case we get $\left\{\begin{array}{l}n=2 k \\ n=2 k+1\end{array}\right.$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y(2 k)=a y(2 k-9)+x(2 k) \\
y(2 k+1)=a y(2 k-8)+x(2 k+1)
\end{array}\right.
$$
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## Example: "unfolding by hand"

$$
\text { We have that }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y(2 k)=a y(2 k-9)+x(2 k) \\
y(2 k+1)=a y(2 k-8)+x(2 k+1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The input to a delay element can be described by $x(k J+m)$.
After $J$ unfolding, the output from the delay element can be described as $x(J(k-1)+m)$. Thus, the above equations can be expressed as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y(2 k)=a y(2(k-5)+1)+x(2 k) \\
y(2 k+1)=a y(2(k-4)+0)+x(2 k+1)
\end{array}\right.
$$

From above we can see that the inputs to the system are $x(2 k), x(2 k+1)$ and the constant $a$, the outputs are $y(2 k)$ and $y(2 k+1)$. The terms ( $k-5$ ) and ( $k-4$ ) relates to the number of delays in the two branches $(y(2 k)$ and $y(2 k+1)$ ) in the unfolded system.

## Example: "unfolding by hand"

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y(2 k)=a y(2(k-(5)+1)+x(2 k) \\
y(2 k+1)=a y(2(k)+(4)+0)+x(2 k+1)
\end{array}\right.
$$



> Not trivial even for a simple graph! Need a method!!

## Definitions

$\lfloor\boldsymbol{x}\rfloor$ is the floor of $\boldsymbol{x}$, largest integer $\leq \boldsymbol{x}$
$\lceil\boldsymbol{x}\rceil$ is the ceiling of $\boldsymbol{x}$, smallest integer $\geq \boldsymbol{x}$

## $\boldsymbol{a} \% \boldsymbol{b}$ remainder after $\boldsymbol{a} / \boldsymbol{b}$

## Examples

| $x$ | Floor $\lfloor\boldsymbol{x}\rfloor$ | Ceiling $\lceil\boldsymbol{x}\rceil$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1.1 | -2 | -1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1.01 | 1 | 2 |
| 2.9 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 |

$\lfloor\boldsymbol{x}\rfloor$ is the floor of $x$, largest integer $\leq \boldsymbol{x}$
$\lceil x\rceil$ is the ceiling of $x$, smallest integer $\geq x$

## Example

## $\boldsymbol{a} \% \boldsymbol{b}$ remainder after $\boldsymbol{a} / \boldsymbol{b}$

In arithmetic, the remainder is the integer "left over" after dividing one integer by another to produce an integer quotient (integer division).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=43 \\
& b=5
\end{aligned} \quad 43=8 \times 5+3 \Rightarrow a \% b=43 \% 5=3
$$

## General Algorithm for unfolding

Step 1. For each node $U$ in the original
$\mathrm{J}=4$ DFG, draw $J$ nodes $U_{0}, U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{J-1}$
$\mathrm{J}=4$

## Properties of unfolding



- Unfolding preserves the number of delays in a DFG

$$
\lfloor w / J\rfloor+\lfloor(w+1) / J\rfloor+\ldots+\lfloor(w+J-1) / J\rfloor=w
$$

- Unfolding preserves precedence constraints
- J-unfolding of a loop with $w_{1}$ delays in the original DFG $\Rightarrow$ gcd $\left(w_{1}, J\right)$ loops in the unfolded DFG. Each loop contains $w_{l} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(w_{1}, J\right)$ delays and $J / \operatorname{gcd}\left(w_{1}, J\right)$ copies of each node.
- Unfolding a DFG with iteration bound $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}$ results in a J-unfolded DFG with iteration bound $\mathrm{JT}_{\infty}$.


## Relation Unfolding and Iteration Bound



| $\operatorname{gcd}(9,2)=1 \Rightarrow 1$ loop |
| :---: |
| $T_{\infty}=18 / 9=2$ |

$y(2 k)$


## DSP Design

## Relation Unfolding and the Critical Path

## If edge with $\mathrm{W}<\mathrm{J} \Rightarrow(\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{w})$ paths with zero delay and w paths with 1 delay



# Applications of Unfolding: Sample Period Reduction 

- Case 1: A node in the DFG having computation time greater than $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}$.
- Case 2 : Iteration bound is not an integer.
- Case 3 : Longest node computation is larger than the iteration bound $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}$, and $\mathrm{T}_{\infty}$ is not an integer


## Sample Period Reduction: case 1



## Sample Period Reduction: case 1

The original DFG cannot have sample period equal to the iteration bound because a node computation time is more than iteration bound


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{T}_{\infty}=\max _{\boldsymbol{l} \in \boldsymbol{L}}\left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{l}}}{\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{l}}}\right\} \\
& =\max _{\boldsymbol{l} \in \boldsymbol{L}}\left\{\frac{6}{3}, \frac{6}{2}\right\}=3 \\
& <4, \text { max node time }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sample Period Reduction: case 1



## Sample Period Reduction: case 2

The original DFG cannot have sample period equal to the iteration bound because the iteration bound is not an integer


$$
\boldsymbol{T}_{\infty}=\max _{\boldsymbol{l} \in \boldsymbol{L}}\left\{\frac{\boldsymbol{t}}{\boldsymbol{l}} \boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{l}}\right\}=\frac{4}{3}
$$

If a critical loop bound is of the form $t_{l} / w_{l}$ where $t_{1}$ and $w_{1}$ are mutually co-prime, then $w_{1}$-unfolding should be used.


## Unfolding of 3

## Mutally Co-Prime

- Two integers $a$ and $b$ are co-prime if the only positive integer that divides both of them is 1 .
- For example, the integers 6, 10, 15 are coprime because 1 is the only positive integer that divides all of them.


## Sample Period Reduction: case 2 (2)



$$
\boldsymbol{T}_{\infty}=4
$$

and 3 samples gives minimum sample period $4 / 3$
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## Sample Period Reduction: case 3

The original DFG cannot have sample period equal to the iteration bound because the longest node computation is larger than the iteration bound $T_{\infty}$, and $T_{\infty}$ is not an integer


The minimum $J$ that achieves the iteration bound is the minimun value of $\boldsymbol{J}$ such that $\boldsymbol{J} T_{\infty}$ is an integer and is greater or equal to the longest node computation time

## Sample Period Reduction: case 3 Basically case 3 = case I + case II

The minimum $\boldsymbol{J}$ that achieves the iteration bound is the minimun value of $\boldsymbol{J}$ such that $\boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{T}_{\infty}$ is an integer and is greater or equal to the longest node computation time.

Ex: Assume $T_{\infty}=4 / 3$ and $t_{U, \max }=6$
If $J \cdot T_{\infty} \geq t_{U, \max }$ then $J \cdot \frac{4}{3} \geq 6 \Rightarrow J=6$

## Parallel Processing and Unfolding

Parallel processing can be performed by unfolding (chapter 3)


## Parallel Processing Techniques

## Word-level Parallel Processing

- Unfolding a word-serial architecture by J creates a word-parallel architecture that processes $J$ words per clock cycle


## Bit-level Parallel Processing

## Bit-serial processing

- One bit is processed per clock cycle and a complete word is processed in W clock cycles, where W is the word-length.


## Bit-parallel processing

- One word of W bits is processed every clock cycle


## Digit-serial processing

- $\quad \mathrm{N}$ bits are processed per clock cycle and a word is processed in W/N clock cycles, where N is referred to as the digit size


## Bit-Level Parallel Processing



DSP Design

## Bit-Parallel



Bit-Serial
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## Bit-serial adder

Bit-serial can be seen as a time-multiplexed architecture, in this example on addition (i.e. 1 iteration) takes 4cc.


Switch for carry signal ( $\mathrm{Wl}+\mathrm{u}$ )
How to unfold switches?
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## Unfolding of Switches

- The following assumptions are made when unfolding an edge $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ containing a switch :
$>$ The wordlength W is a multiple of the unfolding factor J, i.e. W = W'J.
$>$ All edges into and out of the switch have no delays.



## Unfolding of Switches

- The following assumptions are made when unfolding an edge $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ containing a switch :
$>$ The wordlength $\mathbf{W}$ is a multiple of the unfolding factor J , i.e. $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W}$ 'J.
$>$ All edges into and out of the switch have no delays.
- If so, an edge $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$ can be unfolded as:
$>$ Write the switching instance as

$$
\mathbf{W I}+\mathbf{u}=\mathrm{J}\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{I}+\lfloor\mathbf{u} / \mathrm{J}\rfloor\right)+(\mathbf{u} \% \mathrm{~J})
$$

$>$ Draw an edge from the node $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{u} \% \mathrm{~J}} \Rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{u} \% \mathrm{~J}}$, which is switched at time instance ( $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} I+\lfloor\mathbf{u} / J\rfloor$ ).


## Example: Unfolding of Switches, J=3


$>$ Write the switching instance as

$$
\mathbf{W I}+\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{J}\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{I}+\lfloor\mathbf{u} / \mathrm{J}\rfloor\right)+(\mathbf{u} \% \mathrm{~J})
$$

## Example: Unfolding of Switches, J=3


$>$ Write the switching instance as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W I+\mathbf{u}=\mathrm{J}\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{I}+\lfloor\mathbf{u} / \mathrm{J}\rfloor\right)+(\mathbf{u} \% \mathrm{~J}) \\
& \begin{array}{l}
91+1=3(3 I+\lfloor 1 / 3\rfloor)+(1 \% 3)=3(3 I+0)+1 \\
91+5=3(31+\lfloor 5 / 3\rfloor)+(5 \% 3)=3(31+1)+2
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\text { Edges } \\
\text { between } \\
\text { Nodes }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Unfolding of Switches, J=3


$>$ Write the switching instance as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{W I}+\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{\prime} \mathbf{I}+\lfloor\mathbf{u} / \mathbf{J}\rfloor)+(\mathbf{u} \% \mathbf{J}) \\
& 91+1=3(31+\lfloor 1 / 3\rfloor)+(1 \% 3)=3(3 I+0)+1 \\
& 91+5=3(31+\lfloor 5 / 3\rfloor)+(5 \% 3)=3(31+1)+2
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \text { Edges } \\
& \text { between } \\
& \text { Nodes }
\end{aligned}
$$

$>$ Draw an edge from the node $\Rightarrow V_{\text {u\%J, l.e. }}$

$$
\mathrm{U}_{1} \Rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{1} \text { and } \mathrm{U}_{2} \Rightarrow \mathrm{~V}_{2}
$$

## Example: Unfolding of Switches, J=3


switched at time instance ( $\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{I}+\lfloor\mathbf{u} / \mathrm{J}\rfloor$ ), I.e.

$$
U_{1} \Rightarrow V_{1} \text { at }(31+0) \text { and } U_{2} \Rightarrow V_{2} \text { at }(3 \mid+1)
$$

## Switch with multiple instances

## Example:



## Switch with multiple instances

## Example:



Unfolding by 3


$$
\mathbf{W I}+\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{J}\left(\mathbf{W}^{\prime} \mathbf{I}+\lfloor\mathbf{u} / J\rfloor\right)+(\mathbf{u} \% \mathrm{~J})
$$

Switched at time ins sancer $\sqrt[3]{ }$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12 I+1=3(4 I+0)+1 \\
& 12 I+7=3(4 I+2)-1 \\
& 12 I+9=3(4 I+3)+0 \\
& 12 I+11=3(4 I+3)+2
\end{aligned}
$$
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## End of Lecture

