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Learning outcomes of this lecture

In this lecture we will

- Characterize the clutter
- Observe orders of magnitude from different sources
- Have an initial discussion on clutter suppression
- See a few empirical models
1 Introduction and definitions

2 General characteristics of clutter
   Surface clutter
   Atmospheric clutter

3 Clutter modeling
   Surface clutter
   Atmospheric clutter
   Summary of clutter results

4 Conclusions

5 Lab on Friday
What is clutter?

- Backscattering from natural objects, such as precipitation, vegetation, soil and rocks, or the sea.
- When trying to detect man-made object, it is considered an unwanted interference, masking the signal.
- When surveying natural processes (thickness of ice caps, weather etc), it may be the main signal of interest.
Examples of clutter

PPI = Plan Position Indicator.

PPI screen of an ATC-radar with targets and clutter.
Sea-Clutter on a PPI-Scope. Wind from 310° or 130°.

Observing how the image evolves with time gives further information. Clutter can fluctuate and move.
Mix of areas with uniform scattering (grassy lawns) and non-uniform (trees, man-made structures). May look very different for other frequencies.
FIGURE 21-32 Three SAR images of an armored vehicle with radar at the bottom of each image and the vehicle (a) at a non-cardinal pose angle, (b) broadside to the radar, and (c) end-on to the radar.
There are some significant differences between clutter and noise.

**TABLE 5-1  Clutter Signals versus Noise**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise Signal</th>
<th>Clutter Signal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude independent of transmitted radar signal level</td>
<td>Amplitude proportional to transmitted radar signal level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wide bandwidth (limited by receiver noise bandwidth)</td>
<td>Narrow bandwidth (created by scatterer motion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistically independent between pulses</td>
<td>May be highly correlated between pulses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude variation described by Rayleigh statistics</td>
<td>Amplitude variation may vary from none to extremely wide (log normal or Weibull statistics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average value is constant and independent of spatial position</td>
<td>Time average will differ between spatial samples as the clutter types change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent of transmitted frequency</td>
<td>Varies with changing frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent of environmental parameters</td>
<td>Can vary with changing environmental conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No spatial component</td>
<td>Varies with beam position and resolution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Adapted from Long [2]. (© 2006 IEEE. Used with permission.)*
The received electric field strength from the $i$-th scatterer is proportional to ($k$: collects factors common to all scatterers)

$$
|E_i| \sim \left[ \frac{P_t G^2 \lambda^2 \sigma_i}{(4\pi)^3 L_s R^4} \right]^{1/2} = k \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_i}}{d_i^2}, \quad \arg\{E_i\} = -\left( \theta_i + \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} d_i \right)
$$

$$
E = \sum_i E_i = \sum_i k \frac{\sqrt{\sigma_i}}{d_i^2} \exp \left[ -j \left( \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} d_i + \theta_i \right) \right] = \frac{k}{d^2} \sqrt{\sigma} e^{j\phi}
$$

The complex number $\sqrt{\sigma} e^{j\phi}$ is the **backscatter coefficient** and $d$ is the nominal distance to the clutter.
Clutter polarization scattering matrix

Taking polarization effects into account, the concept of the backscatter coefficient can be extended to the polarization scattering matrix (PSM).

\[ S = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\sigma_{HH}} e^{j\phi_{HH}} & \sqrt{\sigma_{HV}} e^{j\phi_{HV}} \\ \sqrt{\sigma_{VH}} e^{j\phi_{VH}} & \sqrt{\sigma_{VV}} e^{j\phi_{VV}} \end{pmatrix} \]

The PSM could also be expressed in circular polarization (right hand CP and left hand CP). Additional information on the scatterer can be obtained by considering, for instance,

- Parallel/cross polarization ratio: \( \sqrt{\sigma_{HH}} / \sqrt{\sigma_{VH}} \).
- Vertical/horizontal polarization ratio: \( \sqrt{\sigma_{VV}} / \sqrt{\sigma_{HH}} \).
- Polarimetric phase: \( \phi_{HH} - \phi_{VV} \).

These measurements require a radar capable of transmitting and receiving individually in all polarizations, which is expensive.
Surface and volume reflectivity

The absolute square of the complex backscatter coefficient $\sqrt{\sigma e^{i\phi}}$ is the radar cross section $\sigma$ of the clutter.

To characterize clutter originating from a surface, use the surface reflectivity

$$\sigma^0 = \frac{\sigma}{A} \quad [\sigma^0] = \frac{m^2}{m^2} = \text{unitless}$$

where $A$ is the illuminated clutter area.

For clutter scatterers in a volume, use the volume reflectivity

$$\eta = \frac{\sigma}{V} \quad [\eta] = \frac{m^2}{m^3} = m^{-1}$$

where $V$ is the illuminated clutter volume.
Beam limitation vs pulse limitation

Depending on pulse length $c\tau$, the illuminated clutter area is limited by the projected beam or the projected pulse ($\theta_3$ and $\phi_3$ are the 3 dB azimuth and elevation beam widths, respectively):

$$A = \frac{\pi R^2 \tan \left( \frac{\theta_3}{2} \right) \tan \left( \frac{\phi_3}{2} \right)}{\sin \delta} \approx \frac{\pi R^2}{4} \frac{\theta_3 \phi_3}{\sin \delta} \quad A = \frac{c\tau R \tan \left( \frac{\theta_3}{2} \right)}{\cos \delta} \approx \frac{c\tau R \theta_3}{2 \cos \delta}$$

The illuminated clutter volume is restricted by the pulse length

$$V = \frac{\pi R^2 \theta_3 \phi_3}{4} \frac{c\tau}{2}$$
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Dependence on grazing angle

The surface reflectivity depends on the grazing angle.

Based on theory and measured data for land and sea. The behavior at low grazing angles is motivated by the surface becoming smoother (less backscattering). Rayleigh’s definition of a smooth surface is

\[ \sigma_h \sin \delta < \frac{\lambda}{8} \]
Random nature of clutter

The clutter response varies with time and space due to motion of the radar or the scatterers, for instance due to wind. A statistical approach is necessary, for instance using the Weibull distribution

$$p_\sigma = \begin{cases} \frac{b \sigma^{b-1}}{\alpha} \exp \left( -\frac{\sigma^b}{\alpha} \right) & \sigma \geq 0 \\ 0 & \sigma < 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\sigma_m^b}{\ln 2}$ and $\sigma_m$ is the median of the distribution.

**FIGURE 5-7**

Weibull distributions for $\sigma_m = 1$ and several values of $b$. 
## Spatial statistics for ground clutter

**TABLE 5-7**  | Spatial Statistical Attributes for X-Band Ground Clutter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain Type</th>
<th>Depression Angle (deg)</th>
<th>Weibull Parameters</th>
<th>Ensemble Mean Clutter Strength</th>
<th>Percent of Samples above Radar Noise Floor</th>
<th>Number of Patches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>$\sigma_{m}$ (dB)</td>
<td>$\sigma_{w}^0$ (dB)</td>
<td>$\sigma^0$ (dB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural/ Low-Relief</td>
<td>0.00–0.25</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>−60</td>
<td>−33</td>
<td>−32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25–0.50</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>−53</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>−30.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.50–0.75</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>−50</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>−29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.75–1.00</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>−46</td>
<td>−31</td>
<td>−28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.00–1.25</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>−44</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>−28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.25–1.50</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>−29</td>
<td>−27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.50–4.00</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>−34</td>
<td>−27</td>
<td>−25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural/ High-Relief</td>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>−39</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>−26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>−35</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>−32</td>
<td>−25</td>
<td>−24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>−29</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>−23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4–5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−21</td>
<td>−22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>−25</td>
<td>−21</td>
<td>−21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>0.00–0.25</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>−54</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25–0.70</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>−42</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.70–4.00</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>−37</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>−24.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\sigma_{m}$ = median reflectivity
$\sigma_{w}^0$ = mean reflectivity
$F$ = propagation factor (see Chapter 4)


Example of how measurements are fitted to theoretical models.
Fires the general trend shown before. Depression angle is an angle relative to the radar system, same as grazing angle for level, horizontal surface. This angle is easier to control in an experiment.
FIGURE 5-10 $\sigma^0$
data for trees from two sources for X-band. (Data from [16,18]. With permission.)
Land reflectivity: frequency

Higher frequency implies higher reflectivity.

**FIGURE 5-12**
Averaged reflectivity data for rural farmland as a function of frequency. (Adapted from Nathanson [15]. With permission.)
## TABLE 5-2  Parameters Affecting Sea Return

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wave height</td>
<td>Strong proportional dependence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed</td>
<td>Dependence increases with increasing frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind/wave look direction</td>
<td>Significant difference between up-wave and down-wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarization</td>
<td>Dependence decreases with increasing frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grazing angle</td>
<td>Strong dependence at low angles, weaker dependence in the plateau region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency band</td>
<td>Proportional to frequency in the microwave region</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TABLE 5-3  Douglas Sea State versus Wave Height and Wind Speed for a Fully Developed Sea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sea State</th>
<th>Significant Wave Height (ft)</th>
<th>Wind Speed (Kts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 to 0.5</td>
<td>0 to 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5 to 1</td>
<td>2 to 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 to 3</td>
<td>7 to 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 to 5</td>
<td>12 to 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5 to 8</td>
<td>16 to 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8 to 12</td>
<td>20 to 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12 to 20</td>
<td>25 to 32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20 to 40</td>
<td>32 to 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>40+</td>
<td>45+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Adapted from Long [6] (with permission).*
FIGURE 5-17  ■ Sea return as a function of grazing angle for four radar bands. (From Long [6]. With permission.)
Theoretically, sea clutter should decrease as $R^{-3}$, but may decrease faster.
Clutter suppression, decorrelation time

- The clutter decorrelation time $\tau_0$, is the time over which the clutter response is coherent (stable phase and amplitude). This is frequency dependent.
- If the target signal is stable over longer time than $\tau_0$, the signal-to-clutter ratio can be improved by averaging.
- If $PRI > \tau_0$, each clutter sample is uncorrelated.

**Figure 5-27**

Decorrelation time for windblown trees as a function of wind speed. (From Currie et al. [21]. With permission.)
Theory predicts Gaussian-shaped spectra, but actual measurements often result in a slower roll-off with frequency. This may be due to imperfections in the systems, since a very well-controlled experiment (Billingsley, ref [11]) was well modeled by a Gaussian distribution.
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Atmospheric clutter

Most volumetric (atmospheric) clutter is due to rain or other precipitation. It depends on rain rate, and the drop-size (typically 0.5–4 mm) in relation to the wavelength $\lambda$.

**FIGURE 5-5**

Dependence of the RCS of a sphere on wavelength.

Strongest response around $ka \approx 1$, radius $a \approx \lambda/(2\pi)$, or a diameter around $\lambda/3$. 
FIGURE 5-33
Least squares fit to rain data at four frequency bands.
(From Currie [32]. With permission.)
FIGURE 5-34
Least squares fit to snow data at two frequency bands compared with rain data. (From Currie et al. [34]. With permission.)
Rain decorrelation time

Decorrelation time in the order of milliseconds. This corresponds to a limit for maximum PRF in order to have uncorrelated clutter responses in each pulse ($\text{PRF}_{\text{max}} = 1/\tau_0$).
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Clutter is notoriously difficult to model, due to the complexity of the real world phenomena it represents. But still, explicit models may provide useful approximations when evaluating the radar scenario.
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The following model was developed in the late 1970’s by GTRI = Georgia Tech Research Institute.

\[ \sigma^0 = A(\delta + C)^B \exp \left[ \frac{-D}{1 + \frac{0.1\sigma_h}{\lambda}} \right] \]

- \( \delta \) is the grazing angle in radians
- \( \sigma_h \) is the rms surface roughness
- \( \lambda \) is the wavelength
- \( A, B, C, \) and \( D \) are empirically derived constants

Discussion
# GTRI coefficients

## TABLE 5-10 ■ Coefficients for GTRI Empirical Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Soil/Sand</th>
<th>Grass</th>
<th>Tall Grass Crops</th>
<th>Trees</th>
<th>Urban</th>
<th>Wet Snow</th>
<th>Dry Snow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
<td>0.00054</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0246</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: From Currie [32] (with permission).*
Comparison of GTRI model with measured data

FIGURE 5-38
Comparison of GTRI model output with data for deciduous trees at X-band.
(From Currie [32]. With permission.)
GTRI sea clutter model

**TABLE 5-11 GTRI Sea Clutter Model Equations**

\[
\sigma_{HH}^{0} = 10 \log[3.9 \times 10^{-6} \lambda \delta^{0.4} A_i A_u A_w]
\]

For 1 to 3 GHz
\[
\sigma_{VV}^{0} = \sigma_{HH}^{0} - 1.73 \ln(h_{av} + 0.015) + 3.76 \ln(\lambda) + 2.46 \ln(\delta + 0.0001) + 22.2
\]

For 3 to 10 GHz
\[
\sigma_{VV}^{0} = \sigma_{HH}^{0} - 1.05 \ln(h_{av} + 0.015) + 1.09 \ln(\lambda) + 1.27 \ln(\delta + 0.0001) + 9.70
\]

\[
\sigma_{\phi} = (14.4 \lambda + 5.5) \delta h_{av} / \lambda
\]

\[
A_i = \sigma_{\phi}^{4} / (1 + \sigma_{\phi}^{4})
\]

\[
A_u = \exp \left[ 0.2 \cos \phi (1 - 2.8 \delta) (\lambda + 0.015)^{-0.4} \right]
\]

\[
qw = 1.1 / (\lambda + 0.015)^{0.4}
\]

\[
V_w = 8.67 h_{av}^{0.4}
\]

\[
A_w = \left[ 1.94 V_w / (1 + V_w / 15.4) \right]^{qw}
\]

Note: Values for \(h_{av}\) and \(\lambda\) are given in meters, \(\delta\) and \(\phi\) are in radians.

Source: From Horst et al. [39] (with permission).
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The model parameters $A$ and $B$ below can be fitted to the rain data in Figure 5-33 (where $R$ is the rain rate in mm/hr):

$$\eta = AR^B \text{ [m}^{-1}\text{]}$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>$4.2 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: From Currie et al. [34] (with permission).*
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# Land reflectivity

## TABLE 5-13  Summary of Averaged Land Reflectivity ($\sigma^0$ in db)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clutter Type</th>
<th>Frequency Band</th>
<th>Grazing Angle (Deg.)</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desert</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−45</td>
<td>−38</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>−21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>−46</td>
<td>−36</td>
<td>−25</td>
<td>−17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>−33</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>−40</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>−21</td>
<td>−14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ku</td>
<td></td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmland</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−36</td>
<td>−30</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>−34</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>−33</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>−33</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ku</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>−22</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woods</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>−27</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>−26</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>−14</td>
<td>−14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ku</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−14</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>−25</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td>−12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−13</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>−21</td>
<td>−18</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ku</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Sea reflectivity

## TABLE 5-14 - Summary of Averaged Sea Reflectivity ($\sigma^0$ in db)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sea State</th>
<th>Frequency Band</th>
<th>Polarization</th>
<th>Grazing Angle (Deg.)</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>60</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>VV</td>
<td>-39</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>-56</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>VV</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>VV</td>
<td>-72</td>
<td>-41</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>-75</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>VV</td>
<td>-65</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>-71</td>
<td>-51</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ku</td>
<td>VV</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ku</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>VV</td>
<td>-82</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>-82</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>VV</td>
<td>-75</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>-68</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>VV</td>
<td>-60</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>-59</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>VV</td>
<td>-51</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>-53</td>
<td>-37</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ku</td>
<td>VV</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ku</td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>-28</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Conclusions

- Characterization of clutter: backscatter coefficient, surface reflectivity $\sigma^0$, volume reflectivity $\eta$.
- Illuminated area/volume determines the clutter RCS.
- Clutter decorrelation time needs to be considered for clutter suppression.
- Some empirical models exist for estimating the reflectivity for different contexts.
Outline

1 Introduction and definitions

2 General characteristics of clutter
   Surface clutter
   Atmospheric clutter

3 Clutter modeling
   Surface clutter
   Atmospheric clutter
   Summary of clutter results

4 Conclusions

5 Lab on Friday
The lab will take place in the same room as the exercises. **Note the time: 8–12!**

The lab is based around a simple ultrasonic sensor placed on a stepper motor, controlled by an Arduino unit.

**Read the lab instructions carefully before the lab!** They are available on the course web site, under “Lectures.”
A practical problem: interference!

Since several units will be operating at the same time, they may interfere with each other, meaning one unit may receive both its own echo (intended) and the direct signal of another unit (not intended).

▶ We can use two rooms in order to reduce problems.
▶ In each room, make sure to spread out, and try not to point your radar in the direction of others (remember signals will also reflect in walls, but the range is only a couple of meters).

The lab is done in pairs of two. Ask your lab leader Sebastian if you get strange results, or if there are any other questions.

Before you leave the lab, demonstrate your findings to the lab leader in order to be approved on the lab!
Discussion

Why is there a shadow behind the targets?
Why is there a shadow behind the targets?

Answer:
- Only diffracted signals reach what is directly behind the targets, leading to significantly reduced illumination and less clutter.
Why is the pulse limited volume estimated with a range of $c\tau/2$ rather than $c\tau$?
Discussion

Why is the pulse limited volume estimated with a range of $c\tau/2$ rather than $c\tau$?

Answer:

- This is the range when the last reflection of the initial pulse overlaps with the first reflection of the end of the pulse.
Give a suggestion why sea clutter tends to decay slower than anticipated by theory. (No uniquely true answer!)
Give a suggestion why sea clutter tends to decay slower than anticipated by theory. (No uniquely true answer!)

Answer:

- The book suggests a ducting phenomenon, with waves trapped close to sea surface, leading to a slower decay of the excitation of clutter. Open case!
In the expression (where $\delta$ is the grazing angle, $\sigma_h$ is the rms surface roughness, and $\lambda$ is the wavelength)

$$\sigma^0 = A(\delta + C)^B \exp \left[ \frac{-D}{1 + \frac{0.1\sigma_h}{\lambda}} \right]$$

which parameters $A$, $B$, $C$, or $D$ would you say have the strongest connection to angle of incidence and surface roughness?
In the expression (where $\delta$ is the grazing angle, $\sigma_h$ is the rms surface roughness, and $\lambda$ is the wavelength)

$$\sigma^0 = A(\delta + C)^B \exp \left[ \frac{-D}{1 + \frac{0.1\sigma_h}{\lambda}} \right]$$

which parameters $A$, $B$, $C$, or $D$ would you say have the strongest connection to angle of incidence and surface roughness?

**Answer:**

- $C$ (and to some extent $B$) gives an offset to the angle of incidence, and $D$ gives a weighting to the surface roughness.