#### **EITF75 Systems and Signals**



# Agenda:

- 1. Relation between DTFT and z-transform
- 2. Some properties of DTFTs
- 3. Relation between pole-zero diagrams and DTFT
- 4. Filter characteristics
- 5. DTFTs of unstable signals

#### **EITF75 Systems and Signals**



**DTFT** (discrete time Fourier transform)

$$X(f) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x(n) \exp(-i2\pi nf)$$
$$= X(z|z) = \exp(i2\pi f)$$

# Important: DTFT is z-transform evaluated at unit circle

# Why do we need the DTFT when we have the z-transform?

# Why do we need the DTFT when we have the z-transform?

<u>3 Simple steps to become a mobile phone operator</u>

# Why do we need the DTFT when we have the z-transform?

<u>3 Simple steps to become a mobile phone operator</u>

1. Go to the bank, ask for a big loan



# Why do we need the DTFT when we have the z-transform?

<u>3 Simple steps to become a mobile phone operator</u>

1. Go to the bank, ask for a big loan

2. Go to PTS, buy some bandwidth



# Why do we need the DTFT when we have the z-transform?

<u>3 Simple steps to become a mobile phone operator</u>

- 1. Go to the bank, ask for a big loan
- 2. Go to PTS, buy some bandwidth



# Why do we need the DTFT when we have the z-transform?

- <u>3 Simple steps to become a mobile phone operator</u>
- 1. Go to the bank, ask for a big loan
- 2. Go to PTS, buy some bandwidth



# Why do we need the DTFT when we have the z-transform?

Seen in the z-plane



# Why do we need the DTFT when we have the z-transform?



# Why do we need the DTFT when we have the z-transform?

Let us try this (to serve more users)

- 1. Go to the bank, ask for a big loan
- 2. Go to PTS, ask for some part of the z-plane









So, in the z-plane, there are restrictions on what we can do

Secondly

**Inverse z-transform** (not mentioned before. Difficult to use)

$$y(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \cdot \oint_C Y(z) z^{n-1} dz$$

Where C is any closed curve around the origin, inside the ROC













$$y(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \cdot \oint_C Y(z) z^{n-1} dz$$

Where C is any closed curve around the origin, inside the ROC

#### How do time-signals look?

To find the signal to user 1: Integrate, e.g., along this curve

We now have  $y_1(n)$ . But this is

- 1. Determined by  $Y_1(z)$  along the line
- 2. Not determined by  $Y_1(z)$  in green area



$$y(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \cdot \oint_C Y(z) z^{n-1} dz$$

Where C is any closed curve around the origin, inside the ROC

#### How do time-signals look?

To find the signal to user 1: Integrate, e.g., along this curve

We now have  $y_1(n)$ . We can now compute  $Y_1(z)$ But this will not be zero in the green part (since this information was never used in the construction)



$$y(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \cdot \oint_C Y(z) z^{n-1} dz$$

Where C is any closed curve around the origin, inside the ROC

#### Summary:

We cannot select  $Y_1(z)$  arbitrarily Because in general it will not be a valid transform.

That is, Choose  $Y_1(z)$  arbitrarily Compute  $y_1(n)$  by integration along a curve Compute z-transform. Not same as  $Y_1(z)$ 



$$y(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \cdot \oint_C Y(z) z^{n-1} dz$$

Where C is any closed curve around the origin, inside the ROC

#### Summary:

In fact, entire  $Y_1(z)$  is determined from its values along any closed curve

We can select this as the unit circle

So the Fourier transform (z-transf. on unit circle) determines the entire z-transform

# Agenda:

- 1. Relation between DTFT and z-transform
- 2. Some properties of DTFTs
- 3. Relation between pole-zero diagrams and DTFT
- 4. Filter characteristics
- 5. DTFTs of unstable signals

Time delays  $x(n) \leftrightarrow X(f)$  $x(n-n_0) \leftrightarrow \exp(-i2\pi f n_0) X(f)$ 

# Convolutions

 $y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$ 

Easy to prove using z-transform. Do this at home

# Agenda:

- 1. Relation between DTFT and z-transform
- 2. Some properties of DTFTs
- 3. Relation between pole-zero diagrams and DTFT
- 4. Filter characteristics
- 5. DTFTs of unstable signals



Book makes a big deal out of this. But quite easy....


























# Agenda:

- 1. Relation between DTFT and z-transform
- 2. Some properties of DTFTs
- 3. Relation between pole-zero diagrams and DTFT
- 4. Filter characteristics
- 5. DTFTs of unstable signals

































Let us find the impulse response in the time-domain  

$$h(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\omega) e^{j\omega n} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_{-\omega_c}^{\omega_c} H(\omega) e^{j\omega n} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_{-\omega_c}^{\omega_c} e^{j\omega n} d\omega$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{e^{j\omega_c n} - e^{-j\omega_c n}}{jn} = \frac{\omega_c}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\sin(\omega_c n)}{\omega_c n} = \frac{\omega_c}{\pi} \cdot \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega_c}{\pi}n\right)$$

### Problems:

- 1. Not finite length
- 2. Starts at  $\mathcal{H}=-\infty$

3. Unstable 
$$\sum_{n} |\operatorname{sinc}(n)| = \infty$$

Let us find the impulse response in the time-domain  

$$h(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(\omega) e^{j\omega n} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_{-\omega_c}^{\omega_c} H(\omega) e^{j\omega n} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \int_{-\omega_c}^{\omega_c} e^{j\omega n} d\omega$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{e^{j\omega_c n} - e^{-j\omega_c n}}{jn} = \frac{\omega_c}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\sin(\omega_c n)}{\omega_c n} = \frac{\omega_c}{\pi} \cdot \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega_c}{\pi}n\right)$$

### Problems:

1. Not finite length

### Attempt of solution:

1. Truncate to N taps

2. Starts at  $\mathcal{N}\!=\!-\infty$ 

3. Unstable 
$$\sum_{n} |\operatorname{sinc}(n)| = \infty$$

- 2. Delay (N-1)/2 makes it causal
- 3. Truncation fixes this

$$\begin{split} h(n) &= \frac{\omega_c}{\pi} \cdot \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\omega_c}{\pi}n\right) \quad \text{Ideal filter} \\ h(n) &= \frac{\omega_c}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\sin\left(\omega_c\left(n - \frac{N-1}{2}\right)\right)}{\omega_c\left(n - \frac{N-1}{2}\right)} \quad \text{for } 0 \le n < N \quad \text{Truncated filter} \end{split}$$

### Problems:

1. Not finite length

### Attempt of solution:

1. Truncate to N taps

2. Starts at  $\mathcal{N}\!=\!-\infty$ 

3. Unstable 
$$\sum_{n} |\operatorname{sinc}(n)| = \infty$$

- 2. Delay (N-1)/2 makes it causal
- 3. Truncation fixes this



#### Ideal filter (delayed 10 steps)







#### **Truncated filter**









Quite bad. Lots of ripple in both pass band and stop band







### N=21

#### Ideal filter (delayed 10 steps)



#### MAKE A SMOOTH TRUNCATION: Hamming window











### N=21

#### Ideal filter (delayed 10 steps)



#### MAKE A SMOOTH TRUNCATION: Hamming window





#### **Truncated filter**





Homework 1: Make sure you understand why this is at f  $\approx$  -0.35

### N=21

#### Ideal filter (delayed 10 steps)



#### MAKE A SMOOTH TRUNCATION: Hamming window



Interlude.

We know this

$$y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$$

### Interlude.

#### We know this

$$y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$$

#### But is the following true?

$$y(n) = x(n) \cdot h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = X(f) \star H(f)$$





In other words: Is the bottom Fourier transform the convolution of the two above ?



#### WIKIPEDIA The Free Encyclopedia

# **Discrete-time Fourier transform**

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

### Properties of discrete-time Fourier transforms [edit]

Convolution in time / Multiplication in frequency	$x[n]\ast y[n]$	$X_{2\pi}(\omega)\cdot Y_{2\pi}(\omega)$	
Multiplication in time / Convolution in frequency	$x[n] \cdot y[n]$	${1\over 2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}X_{2\pi}( u)\cdot Y_{2\pi}(\omega- u)d u$	Periodic convolution

A convolution lengthens the signals Y(f) defined in -0.5 to 0.5 One must do periodic convolution (wrap the end to the begining)

# Agenda:

- 1. Relation between DTFT and z-transform
- 2. Some properties of DTFTs
- 3. Relation between pole-zero diagrams and DTFT
- 4. Filter characteristics
- 5. DTFTs of unstable signals

We know

$$y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$$

But is this always true?
We know

$$y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$$

But is this always true? It cannot be true if X(f) does not exist

We know

$$y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$$

But is this always true? It cannot be true if X(f) does not exist

X(f) does not exist if x(n) is unstable (not absolutely summable)

We know

$$y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$$

But is this always true? It cannot be true if X(f) does not exist X(f) does not exist if x(n) is unstable (not absolutely summable) We cannot claim the above for those signals

We know

$$y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$$

But is this always true? It cannot be true if X(f) does not exist X(f) does not exist if x(n) is unstable (not absolutely summable) We cannot claim the above for those signals Ok, so X(f) does not exist. Does that mean that x(n) does not exist ?

We know

$$y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$$

But is this always true? It cannot be true if X(f) does not exist X(f) does not exist if x(n) is unstable (not absolutely summable) We cannot claim the above for those signals Ok, so X(f) does not exist. Does that mean that y(n) does not exist ? No, it exists, in general.

Assume  $x_0(n) = \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$  (unstable, non-causal, X<sub>0</sub>(f) does not exist)

Let us solve

 $y_0(n) = x_0(n) * h(n)$ 

Assume  $x_0(n) = \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$  (unstable, non-causal, X\_0(f) does not exist)

Let us solve  

$$y_0(n) = x_0(n) * h(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k)x_0(n-k)$$

Assume  $x_0(n) = \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$  (unstable, non-causal, X<sub>0</sub>(f) does not exist)

00

Let us solve  

$$y_0(n) = x_0(n) * h(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k)x_0(n-k)$$

$$= \sum h(k) \exp(i2\pi f_0(n-k))$$

k

Assume  $x_0(n) = \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$  (unstable, non-causal, X\_0(f) does not exist)

Let us solve  $y_0(n) = x_0(n) * h(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k)x_0(n-k)$   $= \sum_k h(k) \exp(i2\pi f_0(n-k))$   $= \sum_k h(k) \exp(-i2\pi f_0 k) \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$ 

Assume  $x_0(n) = \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$  (unstable, non-causal, X\_0(f) does not exist)

Let us solve  $y_0(n) = x_0(n) * h(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k)x_0(n-k)$   $= \sum_k h(k) \exp(i2\pi f_0(n-k))$   $= \sum_k h(k) \exp(-i2\pi f_0 k) \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$ Definition of DTFT

Assume  $x_0(n) = \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$  (unstable, non-causal, X\_0(f) does not exist)

Let us solve  $y_0(n) = x_0(n) * h(n) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h(k)x_0(n-k)$   $= \sum_k h(k) \exp(i2\pi f_0(n-k))$   $= \sum_k h(k) \exp(-i2\pi f_0 k) \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$  $= H(f_0) \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$ 

Assume  $x_0(n) = \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$  (unstable, non-causal, X<sub>0</sub>(f) does not exist)

So, y(n) very much exists

 $y_0(n) = H(f_0) \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$ 

Remember the matrix-view of LTI systems from Lecture 2 ? From the above, something very interesting can be said about that matrix' eigenvectors and eigenvalues !!

(Homework to think about this) (This is called Szegö's theorem)



So, y(n) very much exists

 $y_0(n) = H(f_0) \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$ 

Would be nice to extend the Fourier transforms so that we have  $y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$ 

$$x_0(n) = \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$$
  
 $y_0(n) = H(f_0) \exp(i2\pi f_0 n)$   
Educated guess:  $X(f) = \delta(f - f_0)$  Dirac (distribution

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(n) &= \exp(i2\pi f_0 n) \\ y_0(n) &= H(f_0) \exp(i2\pi f_0 n) \\ \text{Educated guess: } X(f) &= \delta(f - f_0) \\ \text{How to test? Compute inverse Fourier transform} \\ y_0(n) &\stackrel{?}{=} \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} H(f) X(f) \exp(i2\pi f n) \mathrm{d}f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(n) &= \exp(i2\pi f_0 n) \\ y_0(n) &= H(f_0) \exp(i2\pi f_0 n) \\ \text{Educated guess: } X(f) &= \delta(f - f_0) \\ \text{How to test? Compute inverse Fourier transform} \\ y_0(n) &\stackrel{?}{=} \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} H(f) X(f) \exp(i2\pi f n) \mathrm{d}f \\ &= \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} H(f) \delta(f - f_0) \exp(i2\pi f n) \mathrm{d}f \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} x_0(n) &= \exp(i2\pi f_0 n) \\ y_0(n) &= H(f_0) \exp(i2\pi f_0 n) \\ \text{Educated guess: } X(f) &= \delta(f - f_0) \\ \text{How to test? Compute inverse Fourier transform} \\ y_0(n) \stackrel{!}{=} \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} H(f) X(f) \exp(i2\pi f n) \mathrm{d}f \\ &= \int_{-0.5}^{0.5} H(f) \delta(f - f_0) \exp(i2\pi f n) \mathrm{d}f \\ &= H(f_0) \exp(i2\pi f_0 n) \\ \end{split}$$

#### Correct!

# Summary:

By allowing for diracs in Fourier transforms (NOT LONGER NORMAL FUNCTIONS) we have furnished for the valididty of

$$y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$$

# Summary:

By allowing for diracs in Fourier transforms (NOT LONGER NORMAL FUNCTIONS) we have furnished for the valididty of

$$y(n) = x(n) \star h(n) \leftrightarrow Y(f) = H(f)X(f)$$

### By using Euler:

$$x(n) = \cos(2\pi f_0 n) = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{i2\pi f n} + e^{-i2\pi f n} \right) \quad X(f) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \delta(f - f_0) + \delta(f + f_0) \right]$$

$$x(n) = \sin(2\pi f_0 n) = \frac{1}{2i} \left( e^{i2\pi f n} - e^{-i2\pi f n} \right) \quad X(f) = \frac{1}{2i} \left[ \delta(f - f_0) - \delta(f + f_0) \right]$$

Infinite power at one frequency (and its negative counterpart)















**RECALL**  $x_0(n) = e^{j\omega_0 n}$   $X(\omega) = \delta(\omega - \omega_0)$ 



**RECALL**  $x_0(n) = e^{j\omega_0 n}$   $X(\omega) = \delta(\omega - \omega_0)$ 

**Step function** u(n)

Trick !

u(n) = g(n) + f(n)Set wo=0  $f(n) \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\delta(\omega)$ 



Summary (a piece of art):  $u(n) \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-i\omega)} + \frac{1}{2}\delta(\omega)$