Estimation Theory Fredrik Rusek

Chapter 11

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

If no MVU estimator exists, or is very hard to find, we can apply an MMSE estimator to deterministic parameters

Recall the form of the Bayesian estimator for DC-levels in WGN

$$E(A|\mathbf{x}) = \mu_A + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}} (\bar{x} - \mu_A) = \alpha \bar{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mu_A \qquad \alpha = \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}}$$

Compute the MSE for a given value of A

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

If no MVU estimator exists, or is very hard to find, we can apply an MMSE estimator to deterministic parameters

Recall the form of the Bayesian estimator for DC-levels in WGN

$$E(A|\mathbf{x}) = \mu_A + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}} (\bar{x} - \mu_A) = \alpha \bar{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mu_A \qquad \alpha < 1$$

Compute the MSE for a given value of A

$$mse(\hat{A}) = var(\hat{A}) + b^{2}(\hat{A})$$

$$= \alpha^{2}var(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1-\alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$

$$= \alpha^{2}\frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1-\alpha)^{2}(A-\mu_{A})^{2}.$$

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

If no MVU estimator exists, or is very hard to find, we can apply an MMSE estimator to deterministic parameters

Recall the form of the Bayesian estimator for DC-levels in WGN

$$E(A|\mathbf{x}) = \mu_A + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}} (\bar{x} - \mu_A) = \alpha \bar{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mu_A \qquad \alpha < 1$$

Compute the MSE for a given value of A

$$mse(\hat{A}) = var(\hat{A}) + b^{2}(\hat{A})$$

$$= \alpha^{2}var(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1-\alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$

$$= \alpha^{2}\frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1-\alpha)^{2}(A-\mu_{A})^{2}.$$

Variance smaller than classical estimator

Large bias for large A

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters



MSE for Bayesian is smaller for A close to the prior mean, but larger far away

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

However, the BMSE is smaller

 $Bmse(\hat{A}) = E_A[mse(\hat{A})]$

$$= \alpha^{2} \operatorname{var}(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$
$$= \alpha^{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1 - \alpha)^{2} (A - \mu_{A})^{2}.$$

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

However, the BMSE is smaller

Bmse
$$(\hat{A}) = E_A[mse(\hat{A})]$$

= $\alpha^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{N} + (1-\alpha)^2 E_A[(A-\mu_A)^2]$

$$= \alpha^{2} \operatorname{var}(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$
$$= \alpha^{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1 - \alpha)^{2} (A - \mu_{A})^{2}.$$

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

However, the BMSE is smaller

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = E_A[mse(\hat{A})]$$

= $\alpha^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{N} + (1-\alpha)^2 E_A[(A-\mu_A)^2]$
= $\alpha^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{N} + (1-\alpha)^2 \sigma_A^2$

$$= \alpha^{2} \operatorname{var}(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$
$$= \alpha^{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1 - \alpha)^{2} (A - \mu_{A})^{2}.$$

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

However, the BMSE is smaller

$$= \alpha^{2} \operatorname{var}(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$

= $\alpha^{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1 - \alpha)^{2} (A - \mu_{A})^{2}.$

Risk Functions

$$p(\theta) \xrightarrow{\theta} p(x|\theta) \xrightarrow{x} Estimator \xrightarrow{\hat{\theta}} Error: \varepsilon = \theta - \hat{\theta}$$

Risk Functions

$$p(\theta) \xrightarrow{\theta} p(x|\theta) \xrightarrow{x} Estimator \xrightarrow{\hat{\theta}} Error: \varepsilon = \theta - \hat{\theta}$$

The MMSE estimator minimizes *Bayes Risk* $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ where the cost function is $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon) = \epsilon^2$



Risk Functions

$$p(\theta) \xrightarrow{\theta} p(x|\theta) \xrightarrow{x} Estimator \xrightarrow{\hat{\theta}} Error: \varepsilon = \theta - \hat{\theta}$$

The MMSE estimator minimizes *Bayes Risk* $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ where the cost function is $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon) = \epsilon^2$



Risk Functions

An estimator that minimizez Bayes risk, for some cost, is termed a Bayes estimator

$$p(\theta) \xrightarrow{\theta} p(x|\theta) \xrightarrow{x} Estimator \xrightarrow{\hat{\theta}} Error: \varepsilon = \theta - \hat{\theta}$$

The MMSE estimator minimizes *Bayes Risk* $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ where the cost function is $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon) = \epsilon^2$



Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$



For a quadratic cost, we already know that $\hat{\theta} = E(\theta | \mathbf{x})$

Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$$

= $\int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) d\mathbf{x} d\theta$
= $\int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta\right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$



Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

Bayes risk equals

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$$

= $\int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) d\mathbf{x} d\theta$
= $\int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$

Minimize this to minimize Bayes risk



Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$$

= $\int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) d\mathbf{x} d\theta$
= $\int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$
 $g(\hat{\theta}) = \int |\theta - \hat{\theta}|p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta$



Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$$

$$= \int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\theta$$

$$= \int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

$$g(\hat{\theta}) = \int |\theta - \hat{\theta}|p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$



Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

Bayes risk equals

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$$

$$= \int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\theta$$

$$= \int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

$$g(\hat{\theta}) = \int |\theta - \hat{\theta}|p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

We need $\frac{dg(\hat{\theta})}{d\hat{\theta}}$, but the limits of the integral depends on $\hat{\theta}$ Not standard differential



Interlude: Leibnitz's rule (very useful)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} h(u,v) \, dv = \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} \frac{\partial h(u,v)}{\partial u} \, dv + \frac{d\phi_2(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_2(u)) \\ - \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_1(u)).$$

Leibnitz's rule (very useful)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} h(u,v) \, dv = \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} \frac{\partial h(u,v)}{\partial u} \, dv + \frac{d\phi_2(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_2(u)) \\ - \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_1(u)).$$

We have:

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$
$$\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta} - \theta p(\theta | \mathbf{x})$$

Leibnitz's rule (very useful)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} h(u,v) \, dv = \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} \frac{\partial h(u,v)}{\partial u} \, dv + \frac{d\phi_2(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_2(u)) - \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_1(u)).$$

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$
$$h(u, \phi_2(u)) =$$

Leibnitz's rule (very useful)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} h(u,v) \, dv = \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} \frac{\partial h(u,v)}{\partial u} \, dv + \frac{d\phi_2(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_2(u)) - \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_1(u)).$$

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$
$$h(u, \phi_2(u)) = \begin{bmatrix} u = \hat{\theta} \\ \phi_2(u) = \hat{\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

Leibnitz's rule (very useful)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} h(u,v) \, dv = \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} \frac{\partial h(u,v)}{\partial u} \, dv + \frac{d\phi_2(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_2(u)) - \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_1(u)).$$

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$
$$h(u, \phi_2(u)) = h(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\theta}) =$$

Leibnitz's rule (very useful)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} h(u,v) \, dv = \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} \frac{\partial h(u,v)}{\partial u} \, dv + \frac{d\phi_2(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_2(u)) - \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_1(u)).$$

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$
$$h(u, \phi_2(u)) = h(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\theta}) = (\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}) p(\hat{\theta} | \mathbf{x}) = 0$$

Leibnitz's rule (very useful)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} h(u,v) \, dv = \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} \frac{\partial h(u,v)}{\partial u} \, dv + \frac{d\phi_2(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_2(u)) - \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_1(u)).$$

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$
$$h(u, \phi_2(u)) = h(\hat{\theta}, \hat{\theta}) = (\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}) p(\hat{\theta} | \mathbf{x}) = 0 \qquad \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} =$$

Leibnitz's rule (very useful)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} h(u,v) \, dv = \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} \frac{\partial h(u,v)}{\partial u} \, dv + \frac{d\phi_2(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_2(u)) - \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_1(u)).$$

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

Lower limit does not depend on u: $u = \hat{\theta}$

$$h(u,\phi_2(u)) = h(\hat{\theta},\hat{\theta}) = (\hat{\theta}-\hat{\theta})p(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) = 0 \qquad \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} = 0$$

Leibnitz's rule (very useful)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} h(u,v) \, dv = \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} \frac{\partial h(u,v)}{\partial u} \, dv + \frac{d\phi_2(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_2(u)) - \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_1(u)).$$

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$
$$\frac{\partial h(u, v)}{\partial u}$$

Leibnitz's rule (very useful)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} h(u,v) \, dv = \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} \frac{\partial h(u,v)}{\partial u} \, dv + \frac{d\phi_2(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_2(u)) - \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_1(u)).$$

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$
$$\frac{\partial h(u, v)}{\partial u} \qquad \frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} h(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) = p(\theta | \mathbf{x})$$

Leibnitz's rule (very useful)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} h(u,v) \, dv = \int_{\phi_1(u)}^{\phi_2(u)} \frac{\partial h(u,v)}{\partial u} \, dv + \frac{d\phi_2(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_2(u)) - \frac{d\phi_1(u)}{du} h(u,\phi_1(u)).$$

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{\theta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta - \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

Bayes risk equals

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$$

$$= \int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\theta$$

$$= \int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

$$g(\hat{\theta}) = \int |\theta - \hat{\theta}|p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

We need $\frac{dg(\hat{\theta})}{d\hat{\theta}}$, but the limits of the integral depends on $\hat{\theta}$ Not standard differential



Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$$

$$= \int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\theta$$

$$= \int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

$$g(\hat{\theta}) = \int |\theta - \hat{\theta}|p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

$$\frac{dg(\hat{\theta})}{d\hat{\theta}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta - \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta = 0$$



Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

Bayes risk equals

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$$

$$= \int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\theta$$

$$= \int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

$$g(\hat{\theta}) = \int |\theta - \hat{\theta}|p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} (\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

$$\frac{dg(\hat{\theta})}{d\hat{\theta}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta}} p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta - \int_{\hat{\theta}}^{\infty} p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta = 0$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\theta} p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta = \int_{\theta}^{\infty} p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is the median of the posterior

$$\hat{\theta} = E(\theta | \mathbf{x})$$

$$\hat{\theta} = E(\theta | \mathbf{x})$$

Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$$

= $\int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) d\mathbf{x} d\theta$
= $\int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$
 $g(\hat{\theta}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta} - \delta} 1 \cdot p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta} + \delta}^{\infty} 1 \cdot p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta$



Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R} &= E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)] \\ &= \int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\theta \\ &= \int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta}) p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$
$$g(\hat{\theta}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta} - \delta} 1 \cdot p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta} + \delta}^{\infty} 1 \cdot p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta \\ &= 1 - \int_{\hat{\theta} - \delta}^{\hat{\theta} + \delta} p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta. \end{aligned}$$



Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$$

$$= \int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\theta$$

$$= \int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

$$g(\hat{\theta}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta} - \delta} 1 \cdot p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta} + \delta}^{\infty} 1 \cdot p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

$$= 1 - \int_{\hat{\theta} - \delta}^{\hat{\theta} + \delta} p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta.$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \arg \max \int_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}-\boldsymbol{\delta}}^{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}+\boldsymbol{\delta}} p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) d\boldsymbol{\theta}$$


Let us now optimize $\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$ for different $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)$

Bayes risk equals

$$\mathcal{R} = E[\mathcal{C}(\epsilon)]$$

$$= \int \int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\theta$$

$$= \int \left[\int \mathcal{C}(\theta - \hat{\theta})p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}.$$

$$g(\hat{\theta}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{\theta} - \delta} 1 \cdot p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta + \int_{\hat{\theta} + \delta}^{\infty} 1 \cdot p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta$$

$$= 1 - \int_{\hat{\theta} - \delta}^{\hat{\theta} + \delta} p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta.$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg \max \int_{\hat{\theta} - \delta}^{\hat{\theta} + \delta} p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \, d\theta.$$
Let δ ->0: $\hat{\theta}$ = $\arg \max p(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ (maximum a posterori (MAP))

 $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon) = \epsilon^2$ $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = E(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x})$ $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon) = |\epsilon|$ $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ = median $\mathcal{C}(\epsilon) =$ $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max \int$ $p(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta$





 $p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta$

Extension to vector parameter

Suppose we have a vector parameter of unknowns $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

Consider estimation of θ_1 . It still holds that the MAP estimator uses $p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x})$

Extension to vector parameter

Suppose we have a vector parameter of unknowns $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

Consider estimation of θ_1 . It still holds that the MAP estimator uses $p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x})$

The parameters $\theta_2 \dots \theta_N$ are nuisance parameters, but we can integrate them away

$$p(\theta_1|\mathbf{x}) = \int \cdots \int p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_p$$

Extension to vector parameter

Suppose we have a vector parameter of unknowns $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

Consider estimation of θ_1 . It still holds that the MAP estimator uses $p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x})$

The parameters $\theta_2 \dots \theta_N$ are nuisance parameters, but we can integrate them away

$$p(\theta_1|\mathbf{x}) = \int \cdots \int p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_p$$

The estimator is

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \int \theta_1 p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta_1$$

Extension to vector parameter

Suppose we have a vector parameter of unknowns **0**

Consider estimation of θ_1 . It still holds that the MAP estimator uses $p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x})$

The parameters $\theta_2 \dots \theta_N$ are nuisance parameters, but we can integrate them away

$$p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x}) = \int \cdots \int p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_p$$
$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \int \theta_1 p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta_1$$
$$= \int \theta_1 \left[\int \cdots \int p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_p \right] \, d\theta_1$$

The estimator is

Extension to vector parameter

Suppose we have a vector parameter of unknowns **0**

Consider estimation of θ_1 . It still holds that the MAP estimator uses $p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x})$

The parameters $\theta_2 \dots \theta_N$ are nuisance parameters, but we can integrate them away

$$p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x}) = \int \cdots \int p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta_2 \cdots d\theta_p$$
$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \int \theta_1 p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta_1$$
$$= \int \theta_1 \left[\int \cdots \int p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_p \right] \, d\theta_1$$
$$= \int \theta_1 p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x}) \, d\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

The estimator is

Extension to vector parameter

In vector form

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \int \theta_1 p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x}) d\boldsymbol{\theta} \\ \int \theta_2 p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x}) d\boldsymbol{\theta} \\ \vdots \\ \int \theta_p p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x}) d\boldsymbol{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = E(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x})$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{1} = \int \theta_{1} p(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}) d\theta_{1}$$

$$= \int \theta_{1} \left[\int \cdots \int p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta_{2} \dots d\theta_{p} \right] d\theta_{1}$$

$$= \int \theta_{1} p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta$$

Extension to vector parameter

Observations

Classical approach (non-Bayesian):

We must estimate all unknown paramters jointly, except if.....what holds????

Extension to vector parameter

Observations

Classical approach (non-Bayesian):

We must estimate all unknown paramters jointly, except if Fisher information is diagonal

Vector MMSE estimator minimizes the MSE for each component of the unknown vector parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, i.e.,

$$[\hat{\theta}]_i = [E(\theta|\mathbf{x})]_i \text{ minimizes } E[(\theta_i - \hat{\theta}_i)^2]$$

$$\operatorname{Bmse}(\hat{ heta}_1) = E[(heta_1 - \hat{ heta}_1)^2]$$

Bmse
$$(\hat{\theta}_1) = E[(\theta_1 - \hat{\theta}_1)^2]$$
 Function of x
= $\int (\theta_1 - \hat{\theta}_1)^2 p(\mathbf{x}, \theta_1) d\theta_1 d\mathbf{x}$

$$Bmse(\hat{\theta}_{1}) = E[(\theta_{1} - \hat{\theta}_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= \int (\theta_{1} - \hat{\theta}_{1})^{2} p(\mathbf{x}, \theta_{1}) d\theta_{1} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \left[\int (\theta_{1} - E(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}))^{2} p(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}) d\theta_{1} \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
MMSE estimator

Performance of MMSE estimator

$$Bmse(\hat{\theta}_{1}) = E[(\theta_{1} - \hat{\theta}_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= \int (\theta_{1} - \hat{\theta}_{1})^{2} p(\mathbf{x}, \theta_{1}) d\theta_{1} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \left[\int (\theta_{1} - E(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}))^{2} p(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}) d\theta_{1} \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int var(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

By definition

$$Bmse(\hat{\theta}_{1}) = E[(\theta_{1} - \hat{\theta}_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= \int (\theta_{1} - \hat{\theta}_{1})^{2} p(\mathbf{x}, \theta_{1}) d\theta_{1} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \left[\int (\theta_{1} - E(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}))^{2} p(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}) d\theta_{1} \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \operatorname{var}(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

$$= \int \left[\int (\theta_{1} - E(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}))^{2} p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

$$p(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}) = \int \cdots \int p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta_{2} \dots d\theta_{p}$$

$$Bmse(\hat{\theta}_{1}) = E[(\theta_{1} - \hat{\theta}_{1})^{2}]$$

$$= \int (\theta_{1} - \hat{\theta}_{1})^{2} p(\mathbf{x}, \theta_{1}) d\theta_{1} d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \left[\int (\theta_{1} - E(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}))^{2} p(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}) d\theta_{1} \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \int \operatorname{var}(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

$$= \int \left[\int (\theta_{1} - E(\theta_{1} | \mathbf{x}))^{2} p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) d\theta \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$
Element [1,1] of
$$Bmse(\hat{\theta}_{i}) = \int [\mathbf{C}_{\theta | \mathbf{x}}]_{ii} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

Additive property

Independent observations $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ Estimate $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ Assume that $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \boldsymbol{\theta}$ are jointly Gaussian

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = E(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) = E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{C}_{\theta x} \mathbf{C}_{xx}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - E(\mathbf{x}))$$

Theorem 10.2

Additive property

Independent observations $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ Estimate $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ Assume that $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \boldsymbol{\theta}$ are jointly Gaussian

Typo in book, should include means as well

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = E(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) = E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{C}_{\theta x} \mathbf{C}_{xx}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - E(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{xx}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{x_1x_1} & \mathbf{C}_{x_1x_2} \\ \mathbf{C}_{x_2x_1} & \mathbf{C}_{x_2x_2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \qquad \mathbf{C}_{\theta x} = E\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\theta} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}^T \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{x_1x_1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{C}_{x_2x_2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \qquad = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\theta x_1} & \mathbf{C}_{\theta x_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Independent observations

Additive property

Independent observations $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ Estimate $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ Assume that $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \boldsymbol{\theta}$ are jointly Gaussian

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = E(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) = E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{C}_{\theta x} \mathbf{C}_{xx}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - E(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\theta x_1} & \mathbf{C}_{\theta x_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{x_1 x_1}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{C}_{x_2 x_2}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 - E(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ \mathbf{x}_2 - E(\mathbf{x}_2) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{C}_{\theta x_1} \mathbf{C}_{x_1 x_1}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - E(\mathbf{x}_1)) + \mathbf{C}_{\theta x_2} \mathbf{C}_{x_2 x_2}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_2 - E(\mathbf{x}_2)).$$

MMSE estimate can be updated sequentially !!!

MAP estimator

 $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} p(\theta | \mathbf{x})$ $= \arg \max_{\theta} \frac{p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) p(\theta)}{p(\mathbf{x})}$ $= \arg \max_{\theta} p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) p(\theta)$ $= \arg \max_{\theta} [\ln p(\mathbf{x} | \theta) + \ln p(\theta)]$

MAP estimator



MAP vs ML estimator

Alexander Aljechin (1882-1946) became world chess champion 1927 (by defeating Capablanca)

Aljechin defended his title twice, and regained it once

MAP vs ML estimator

Alexander Aljechin (1882-1946) became world chess champion 1927 (by defeating Capablanca)

Aljechin defended his title twice, and regained it once

Magnus Calrsen became world champion 2013, and defended the title Once in 2014

MAP vs ML estimator

Alexander Aljechin (1882-1946) became world chess champion 1927 (by defeating Capablanca)

Aljechin defended his title twice, and regained it once

Magnus Calrsen became world champion 2013, and defended the title Once in 2014

Now consider a title game in 2015. Observe Y=y1, where y1=win Two hypotheses:

- H1: Aljechin defends title
- H2: Carlsen defends title

MAP vs ML estimator

```
Alexander Aljechin (1882-1946) became world chess champion 1927
(by defeating Capablanca)
Aljechin defended his title twice, and regained it once
Magnus Calrsen became world champion 2013, and defended the title
Once in 2014
Now consider a title game in 2015. Observe Y=y1, where y1=win
Two hypotheses:
  H1: Aljechin defends title
•
 H2: Carlsen defends title
•
Given the above statistics
                            f(y1|H1)>f(y1|H2)
```

MAP vs ML estimator

```
Alexander Aljechin (1882-1946) became world chess champion 1927
(by defeating Capablanca)
Aljechin defended his title twice, and regained it once
Magnus Calrsen became world champion 2013, and defended the title
Once in 2014
Now consider a title game in 2015. Observe Y=y1, where y1=win
Two hypotheses:
  H1: Aljechin defends title
  H2: Carlsen defends title
٠
Given the above statistics
                            f(y1|H1)>f(y1|H2)
```

ML rule: Aljechin takes title (although he died in 1946)

MAP vs ML estimator

```
Alexander Aljechin (1882-1946) became world chess champion 1927
(by defeating Capablanca)
Aljechin defended his title twice, and regained it once
Magnus Calrsen became world champion 2013, and defended the title
Once in 2014
Now consider a title game in 2015. Observe Y=y1, where y1=win
Two hypotheses:
  H1: Aljechin defends title
 H2: Carlsen defends title
•
Given the above statistics
                            f(y1|H1)>f(y1|H2)
```

MAP rule: f(H1)=0, -> Carlsen defends title

Example DC-level in white noise, uniform prior U[-A₀,A₀]



We got stuck here: Cannot put the denominator in closed form Cannot integrate the nominator

Lets try with the MAP estimator

Example DC-level in white noise, uniform prior U[-A₀,A₀]



Denominator: Does not depend on A -> irrelevant

Example DC-level in white noise, uniform prior U[-A₀,A₀]



Denominator: Does not depend on A -> irrelevant We need to maximize the nominator

Example DC-level in white noise, uniform prior U[-A₀,A₀]

$$p(A|\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2/N}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\frac{\sigma^2}{N}}(A-\bar{x})^2\right]}{\int_{-A_0}^{A_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2/N}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\frac{\sigma^2}{N}}(A-\bar{x})^2\right] dA} & |A| \le A_0 \\ 0 & |A| > A_0 \end{cases} \quad \hat{A} = \begin{cases} \bar{x} - A_0 \le \bar{x} \le A_0 \\ \bar{x} \le A_0 \le \bar{x} \le A_0 \end{cases}$$



Example DC-level in white noise, uniform prior $U[-A_0, A_0]$

$$p(A|\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2/N}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\frac{\sigma^2}{N}}(A-\bar{x})^2\right]}{\int_{-A_0}^{A_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2/N}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\frac{\sigma^2}{N}}(A-\bar{x})^2\right] dA} & |A| \le A_0 \\ 0 & |A| > A_0 \end{cases} \quad \hat{A} = \begin{cases} \bar{x} & -A_0 \le \bar{x} \le A_0 \\ A_0 & \bar{x} > A_0. \end{cases}$$







Element-wise MAP for vector-valued parameter

$$p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x}) = \int \cdots \int p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_p$$
$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \arg \max_{\theta_1} p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x})$$

"No-integration-needed" benefit gone

Element-wise MAP for vector-valued parameter

$$p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x}) = \int \cdots \int p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_p$$
$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \arg \max_{\theta_1} p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x})$$

"No-integration-needed" benefit gone

The estimator $\hat{\theta}_i = \arg \max_{\theta_i} p(\theta_i | \mathbf{x})$

Minimizes the "hit-or-miss" risk $\mathcal{R}_i = E[\mathcal{C}(\theta_i - \hat{\theta}_i)]$ for each I, where $\delta > 0$

$$\mathcal{C}(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} 0 & |\epsilon| < \delta \\ 1 & |\epsilon| > \delta \end{cases}$$
Element-wise MAP for vector-valued parameter

$$p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x}) = \int \cdots \int p(\theta | \mathbf{x}) \, d\theta_2 \dots d\theta_p$$
$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \arg \max_{\theta_1} p(\theta_1 | \mathbf{x})$$

"No-integration-needed" benefit gone

Let us now define another risk function

$$\mathcal{C}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \begin{cases} 1 & ||\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|| > \delta \\ 0 & ||\boldsymbol{\epsilon}|| < \delta \end{cases} \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \boldsymbol{\theta} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

Easy to prove that as δ ->0, Bayes risk is minimized by the vector-MAP-estimator

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} p(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{x})$$

Element-wise MAP and vector valued MAP are not the same



Two properties of vector-MAP

 For jointly Gaussian x and θ, the conditional mean E(θ|x) coincides with the peak of p(θ|x). Hence, the vector-MAP and the MMSE coincide.

Two properties of vector-MAP

- For jointly Gaussian x and θ, the conditional mean E(θ|x) coincides with the peak of p(θ|x). Hence, the vector-MAP and the MMSE coincide.
- Invariance does <u>not</u> hold for MAP (as opposed to MLE) $\hat{\alpha} = g(\hat{\theta})$

Invariance

Why does invariance hold for MLE?

```
With \alpha = g(\theta), it holds that p(x \mid \alpha) = p_{\theta}(x \mid g^{-1}(\alpha))
```

Invariance

Why does invariance hold for MLE?

```
With \alpha = g(\theta), it holds that p(x \mid \alpha) = p_{\theta}(x \mid g^{-1}(\alpha))
```

However, MAP involves the prior, and it doesn't hold that $p_{\alpha}(\alpha)=p_{\theta}(g^{-1}(\alpha))$, since the two distributions are related through the Jacobian

Example

$$p(x[n]|\theta) = \begin{cases} \theta \exp(-\theta x[n]) & x[n] > 0\\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{cases}$$
$$p(\theta) = \begin{cases} \lambda \exp(-\lambda \theta) & \theta > 0\\ 0 & \theta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Exponential

Inverse gamma

Example

$$p(x[n]|\theta) = \begin{cases} \theta \exp(-\theta x[n]) & x[n] > 0\\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{cases}$$
$$p(\theta) = \begin{cases} \lambda \exp(-\lambda \theta) & \theta > 0\\ 0 & \theta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Exponential

Inverse gamma

MAP

$$g(\theta) = \ln p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) + \ln p(\theta)$$

Example

$$p(x[n]| heta) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} heta \exp(- heta x[n]) & x[n] > 0 \ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

$$p(\theta) = \begin{cases} \lambda \exp(-\lambda \theta) & \theta > 0\\ 0 & \theta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Exponential

Inverse gamma

MAP

$$g(\theta) = \ln p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) + \ln p(\theta)$$

= $\ln \left[\theta^N \exp\left(-\theta \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]\right) \right] + \ln \left[\lambda \exp(-\lambda\theta)\right]$
= $N \ln \theta - N\theta \bar{x} + \ln \lambda - \lambda \theta$

Example

$$p(x[n]|\theta) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} heta \exp(- heta x[n]) & x[n] > 0 \\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

$$p(heta) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} \lambda \exp(-\lambda heta) & heta > 0 \ 0 & heta < 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

Exponential

Inverse gamma

MAP

$$g(\theta) = \ln p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) + \ln p(\theta)$$

= $\ln \left[\theta^N \exp\left(-\theta \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]\right) \right] + \ln \left[\lambda \exp(-\lambda\theta)\right]$
= $N \ln \theta - N\theta \bar{x} + \ln \lambda - \lambda\theta$

$$\frac{dg(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{N}{\theta} - N\bar{x} - \lambda \qquad \qquad \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{\bar{x} + \frac{\lambda}{N}}$$

Example

$$p(x[n]|\theta) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} heta \exp(- heta x[n]) & x[n] > 0 \\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

$$p(\theta) = \begin{cases} \lambda \exp(-\lambda \theta) & \theta > 0 \\ 0 & \theta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider estimation of

 $\alpha = 1/\theta$.

$$\hat{ heta} = rac{1}{ar{x} + rac{\lambda}{N}}$$

$$\hat{lpha} = rac{1}{\hat{ heta}} = ar{x} + rac{\lambda}{N}$$
 ? (holds for MLE)

Example

$$p(x[n]|\theta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \theta \exp(-\theta x[n]) & x[n] > 0 \\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$p(\theta) = \begin{cases} \lambda \exp(-\lambda \theta) & \theta > 0 \\ 0 & \theta < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha = 1/\theta$$



$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\hat{ heta}} = \bar{x} + \frac{\lambda}{N}$$
 ? (holds for MLE)

$$p(x[n]|\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{x[n]}{\alpha}\right) & x[n] > 0\\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{cases}$$

Example

$$p(x[n]|\theta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \theta \exp(-\theta x[n]) & x[n] > 0 \\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$p(\theta) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} \lambda \exp(-\lambda \theta) & \theta > 0 \\ 0 & \theta < 0. \end{array}
ight.$$

$$\alpha = 1/\theta$$



$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}} = \bar{x} + \frac{\lambda}{N}$$
 ? (holds for MLE)

$$p(x[n]|\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{x[n]}{\alpha}\right) & x[n] > 0\\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{cases}$$

 $p_{\alpha}(\alpha) =$

Example

$$p(x[n]|\theta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \theta \exp(-\theta x[n]) & x[n] > 0 \\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$p(\theta) = \begin{cases} \lambda \exp(-\lambda \theta) & \theta > 0 \\ 0 & \theta < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha = 1/\theta$$



$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}} = \bar{x} + \frac{\lambda}{N}$$
 ? (holds for MLE)

$$p(x[n]|\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{x[n]}{\alpha}\right) & x[n] > 0\\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$p_{lpha}(lpha) = rac{p_{ heta}(heta(lpha))}{\left|rac{dlpha}{d heta}
ight|}$$

Example

$$p(x[n]|\theta) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \theta \exp(-\theta x[n]) & x[n] > 0 \\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$p(\theta) = \begin{cases} \lambda \exp(-\lambda \theta) & \theta > 0\\ 0 & \theta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider estimation of

 $\alpha = 1/\theta$.



$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}} = \bar{x} + \frac{\lambda}{N}$$
 ? (holds for MLE)

$$p(x[n]|\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{x[n]}{\alpha}\right) & x[n] > 0\\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$p_{\alpha}(\alpha) = \frac{p_{\theta}(\theta(\alpha))}{\left|\frac{d\alpha}{d\theta}\right|}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda \exp(-\lambda/\alpha)}{\alpha^{2}} & \alpha > 0\\ 0 & \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

Example

$$p(x[n]|\theta) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} heta \exp(- heta x[n]) & x[n] > 0 \\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

$$p(\theta) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} \lambda \exp(-\lambda \theta) & \theta > 0 \\ 0 & heta < 0. \end{array}
ight.$$

$$p(x[n]|\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{x[n]}{\alpha}\right) & x[n] > 0\\ 0 & x[n] < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$p_{\alpha}(\alpha) = \frac{p_{\theta}(\theta(\alpha))}{\left|\frac{d\alpha}{d\theta}\right|}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda \exp(-\lambda/\alpha)}{\alpha^{2}} & \alpha > 0\\ 0 & \alpha < 0. \end{cases}$$

 $\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{\bar{x} + \frac{\lambda}{N}}$ **Consider estimation of** $\alpha = 1/\theta$ $\hat{\alpha} =$ $g(\alpha) = \ln p(\mathbf{x}|\alpha) + \ln p(\alpha) = \dots$ $\frac{dg}{d\alpha} = -\frac{N+2}{\alpha} + \frac{N\bar{x} + \lambda}{\alpha^2}$ $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{N\bar{x} + \lambda}{N+2}$