Estimation Theory Fredrik Rusek

Chapter 10 + brief info on

- Conjugate priors
- Jeffrey's prior
- Reference priors

Previous chapters: No assumptions were made on θ

Chapter 10+ : We assume a prior distribution for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$

Benefits:

Problems:

Previous chapters: No assumptions were made on θ

Chapter 10+ : We assume a prior distribution for θ

Benefits:

More info -> better estimation precision

Optimal estimator (MSE sense) <u>always</u> exists

Performance is measured by a single value

Problems:

Previous chapters: No assumptions were made on θ

Chapter 10+ : We assume a prior distribution for θ

Benefits:

More info -> better estimation precision

Optimal estimator (MSE sense) <u>always</u> exists

Performance is measured by a single value

Problems:

Problematic to choose a prior distribution

Consider the problem of producing a radar to monitor a country's airspace



- France is about 500 km in diameter
- A reasonable prior for the distance from CDG to the airplane is U[0,600km]

Consider the problem of producing a radar to monitor a country's airspace



- France is about 500 km in diameter
- A reasonable prior for the distance from CDG to the airplane is U[0,600km]
- However, Belgium is about 90 km in diameter, reasonable prior is U[0,100km]



France and Belgium cannot buy the same equipment to monitor their airspaces if the manufacturer is using Bayesian estimation. With classical estimation they can since the estimator is optimized for all distances



- France is about 500 km in diameter
- A reasonable prior for the distance from CDG to the airplane is U[0,600km]
- However, Belgium is about 90 km in diameter, reasonable prior is U[0,100km]



Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again

- We know that the sample mean estimator $\hat{A} = ar{x}$ is MVU
- We know that
 - Unbiased, i.e. E($ar{x}$)=A
 - has variance Var($ar{x}$) = σ^2/N
- Therefore, the sample mean is distributed as

$$p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2/N}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2/N}(\xi - A)^2}$$

Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again

- We know that the sample mean estimator $\hat{A} = ar{x}$ is MVU
- We know that
 - Unbiased, i.e. E($ar{x}$)=A
 - has variance Var(\bar{x}) = σ^2/N
- Therefore, the sample mean is distributed as



Now assume that we know that

$$-A_0 \le A \le A_0$$

The MVU estimator fails to incorporate this info

Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again

- We know that the sample mean estimator $\hat{A} = ar{x}$ is MVU
- We know that
 - Unbiased, i.e. E($ar{x}$)=A
 - has variance Var($ar{x}$) = σ^2/N
- Therefore, the sample mean is distributed as



Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again

• We know that the sample mean estimator $\hat{A} = ar{x}$ is MVU

PDF of new estimator is

$$p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) = \Pr\{\bar{x} \le -A_0\}\delta(\xi + A_0) \\ + p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A)[u(\xi + A_0) - u(\xi - A_0)] \\ + \Pr\{\bar{x} \ge A_0\}\delta(\xi - A_0)$$

Define new estimator

$$-A_0$$

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{cases} -A_0 & \bar{x} < -A_0 \\ \bar{x} & -A_0 \le \bar{x} \le A_0 \\ A_0 & \bar{x} > A_0 \end{cases}$$

Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again



Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again



MSE of new estimator (depends on A)

$$mse(\check{A}) =$$

Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again



MSE of new estimator

(-A
$$_0$$
-A) 2 with probability p($ar{x}<-A_0$) = $\int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} p_{\hat{A}}(\xi;A)\,d\xi$

$$mse(\check{A}) = \int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} (-A_0 - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi$$

A ...

Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again



MSE of new estimator

(-A₀-A)² with probability p(
$$ar{x} < -A_0$$
) = $\int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} p_{\hat{A}}(\xi;A) \, d\xi$

(-
$$m{\xi}$$
-A) 2 with probability p($ar{x}$ = $m{\xi}$) = $p_{\hat{A}}(m{\xi};A)$ $-A_0 \leq m{\xi} \leq A_0$

$$\mathrm{mse}(\check{A}) = \int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} (-A_0 - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi \, + \int_{-A_0}^{A_0} (\xi - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi$$

Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again



MSE of new estimator

 $(-A_0-A)^2$ with probability $p(\bar{x} < -A_0) = \int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} p_{\hat{A}}(\xi;A) d\xi$

(-
$$\xi$$
-A)² with probability p($ar{x}$ = ξ) = $p_{\hat{A}}(\xi;A)$ $-A_0 \leq \xi \leq A_0$

$$(A_{0}-A)^{2} \text{ with probability } p(\bar{x} \ge A_{0}) = \int_{A_{0}}^{\infty} p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) d\xi$$
$$\operatorname{mse}(\check{A}) = \int_{-\infty}^{-A_{0}} (-A_{0} - A)^{2} p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) d\xi + \int_{-A_{0}}^{A_{0}} (\xi - A)^{2} p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) d\xi$$
$$+ \int_{A_{0}}^{\infty} (A_{0} - A)^{2} p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) d\xi$$

Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again



MSE of MVU estimator

$$mse(\hat{A}) =$$

$$mse(\mathring{A}) = \int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} (-A_0 - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi + \int_{-A_0}^{A_0} (\xi - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi \\ + \int_{A_0}^{\infty} (A_0 - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi$$

Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again



MSE of MVU estimator

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{mse}(\hat{A}) &= \int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} & p_{\hat{A}}(\xi;A) \, d\xi + \int_{-A_0}^{A_0} (\xi-A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi;A) \, d\xi \\ &+ \int_{A_0}^{\infty} & p_{\hat{A}}(\xi;A) \, d\xi \end{split}$$

$$mse(\check{A}) = \int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} (-A_0 - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi + \int_{-A_0}^{A_0} (\xi - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi \\ + \int_{A_0}^{\infty} (A_0 - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi$$

Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again



MSE of MVU estimator

$$mse(\hat{A}) = \int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} (\xi - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi + \int_{-A_0}^{A_0} (\xi - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi \\ + \int_{A_0}^{\infty} (\xi - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi$$

$$mse(\tilde{A}) = \int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} (-A_0 - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi + \int_{-A_0}^{A_0} (\xi - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi \\ + \int_{A_0}^{\infty} (A_0 - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi$$

Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again



Consider DC-level estimation in white noise again

 $\operatorname{mse}(\hat{A}) > \operatorname{mse}(\check{A})$



mse
$$(\hat{A})$$
 = $\int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} (\xi - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) d\xi + \int_{-A_0}^{A_0} (\xi - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) d\xi$
+ $\int_{A_0}^{\infty} (\xi - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) d\xi$

$$mse(\mathring{A}) = \int_{-\infty}^{-A_0} (-A_0 - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi + \int_{-A_0}^{A_0} (\xi - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi \\ + \int_{A_0}^{\infty} (A_0 - A)^2 p_{\hat{A}}(\xi; A) \, d\xi$$

Lessons learned

- With prior information available, the MVU estimator can produce values that we know cannot be true
- With prior information, we can find an estimator that reduces the MSE compared with the MVU estimator for any A

Bayesian MSE

• In classical estimation, the MSE is defined as

$$\operatorname{mse}(\hat{A}) = \int (\hat{A} - A)^2 p(\mathbf{x}; A) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

which is a function of A

• In a Bayesian estimation, we can average this over the distribution of A

$$\operatorname{Bmse}(\hat{A}) = E[(A - \hat{A})^2]$$

Expectation is over both x and A (since A is random)

Bayesian MSE

• In classical estimation, the MSE is defined as

$$mse(\hat{A}) = \int (\hat{A} - A)^2 p(\mathbf{x}; A) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

which is a function of A

• In a Bayesian estimation, we can average this over the distribution of A

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = E[(A - \hat{A})^2] = \int \int (A - \hat{A})^2 p(\mathbf{x}, A) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dA$$
$$= \int mse(\hat{A}) \, dA$$

Bmse
$$(\hat{A}) = E[(A - \hat{A})^2] = \int \int (A - \hat{A})^2 p(\mathbf{x}, A) d\mathbf{x} dA$$

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = E[(A - \hat{A})^2] = \iint (A - \hat{A})^2 p(\mathbf{x}, A) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dA$$
$$= \iint \left[\int (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

Derivation of optimal Bayesian estimator

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = E[(A - \hat{A})^2] = \int \int (A - \hat{A})^2 p(\mathbf{x}, A) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dA$$
$$= \int \left[\int (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

To minimize the BMSE, we should minimize this

Derivation of optimal Bayesian estimator

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = E[(A - \hat{A})^2] = \int \int (A - \hat{A})^2 p(\mathbf{x}, A) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dA$$
$$= \int \left[\int (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

To minimize the BMSE, we should minimize this The optimizer is not a function of A, since we integrate over A

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = E[(A - \hat{A})^2] = \iint (A - \hat{A})^2 p(\mathbf{x}, A) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dA$$
$$= \iint \left[\int (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{A}} \int (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA = \iint \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{A}} (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA$$

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = E[(A - \hat{A})^2] = \iint (A - \hat{A})^2 p(\mathbf{x}, A) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dA$$
$$= \iint \left[\int (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA \right] p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{A}} \int (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{A}} (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA$$
$$= \int -2(A - \hat{A}) p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA$$

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = E[(A - \hat{A})^{2}] = \iint (A - \hat{A})^{2} p(\mathbf{x}, A) d\mathbf{x} dA$$
$$= \iint \left[\iint (A - \hat{A})^{2} p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{A}} \int (A - \hat{A})^{2} p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA = \iint \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{A}} (A - \hat{A})^{2} p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA$$
$$= \iint -2(A - \hat{A}) p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA$$
$$= -2 \iint A p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA + 2 \hat{A} \iint p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA$$

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = E[(A - \hat{A})^{2}] = \iint (A - \hat{A})^{2} p(\mathbf{x}, A) d\mathbf{x} dA$$
$$= \iint \left[\iint (A - \hat{A})^{2} p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA \right] p(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{A}} \int (A - \hat{A})^{2} p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{A}} (A - \hat{A})^{2} p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA$$
$$= \int -2(A - \hat{A}) p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA$$
$$= -2 \int A p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA + 2\hat{A} \int p(A|\mathbf{x}) dA$$
$$= 1$$

Derivation of optimal Bayesian estimator

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = E[(A - \hat{A})^2]$$

The mean of the posterior distribution minimizes the BMSE This is the MMSE estimator

$$\hat{A} = \int Ap(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA = E(A|\mathbf{x})$$

Baye's rule allows us to evaluate the posterior distribution

$$p(A|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|A)p(A)}{p(\mathbf{x})}$$
$$= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|A)p(A)}{\int p(\mathbf{x}|A)p(A) \, dA}$$

From which we can evaluate the MMSE estimator

$$\hat{A} = \int Ap(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA = E(A|\mathbf{x})$$

Baye's rule allows us to evaluate the posterior distribution

$$p(A|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|A)p(A)}{p(\mathbf{x})}$$
$$= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|A)p(A)}{\sqrt{p(\mathbf{x}|A)p(A)} dA}$$

From which we can evaluate the MMSE estimator

Usually, one gets stuck either here or here

$$\hat{A} = \int Ap(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA = E(A|\mathbf{x})$$

Baye's rule allows us to evaluate the posterior distribution

$$p(A|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|A)p(A)}{p(\mathbf{x})}$$
$$= \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|A)p(A)}{\int p(\mathbf{x}|A)p(A) \, dA}$$

From which we can evaluate the MMSE estimator

 $Ap(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA = E(A|\mathbf{x})$

Usually, one gets stuck either here or here

In DC level in WGN with uniform prior we get stuck at both places

$$\hat{A} = E(A|\mathbf{x}) \\
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Ap(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA \\
= \frac{\int_{-A_0}^{A_0} A \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{\sigma^2}{N}}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\frac{\sigma^2}{N}}(A-\bar{x})^2\right] \, dA \\
\int_{-A_0}^{A_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{\sigma^2}{N}}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\frac{\sigma^2}{N}}(A-\bar{x})^2\right] \, dA$$
Rationale of MMSE estimator

DC-level, uniform prior $[-A_0, A_0]$



Rationale of MMSE estimator

DC-level, uniform prior $[-A_0, A_0]$

Checkpoint: Why is the posterior maximized at the sample mean?



Rationale of MMSE estimator

DC-level, uniform prior $[-A_0, A_0]$

Checkpoint: Why is the posterior maximized at the sample mean?

1. Sample mean is efficient



Rationale of MMSE estimator

DC-level, uniform prior $[-A_0, A_0]$

Checkpoint: Why is the posterior maximized at the sample mean?

- 1. Sample mean is efficient
- 2. Therefore, the sample mean coincides with the MLE



Rationale of MMSE estimator

DC-level, uniform prior $[-A_0, A_0]$

Checkpoint: Why is the posterior maximized at the sample mean?

- 1. Sample mean is efficient
- 2. Therefore, the sample mean coincides with the MLE
- 3. But due to the uniform prior

 $\arg \max_{A} p(A|x) = \arg \max_{A} p(x|A)p(A) = \arg \max_{A} p(x|A) = MLE$



Rationale of MMSE estimator

DC-level, uniform prior $[-A_0, A_0]$



Where is the posterior mean?

Rationale of MMSE estimator

DC-level, uniform prior $[-A_0, A_0]$



Why does the MMSE shrink the sample mean towards zero?

Rationale of MMSE estimator

DC-level, uniform prior $[-A_0, A_0]$



Because in the absence of data, the MMSE estimator is $\hat{A} = E(A) = 0$

Rationale of MMSE estimator

The MMSE estimator compromises between the information in the prior (A = zero) and the information in the data (A = sample mean) Estimator is biased towards the prior mean



 $\hat{A} = E(A) = 0$

Rationale of MMSE estimator

With lots of data and/or good SNR, the MMSE ignores the prior Bias of estimator is low



Rationale of MMSE estimator

With a very bad SNR, the MMSE basically ignores the data Bias of estimator is high



Bernstein - von Mises Theorem (A.k.a. Bayesian central limit theorem)

Given a prior $p(\theta)$ and IID observations $X_1, ..., X_N$

Given some mild regularity conditions

```
p(\theta | \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(\theta, I^{-1}(\theta)), \quad N \rightarrow \infty
```

Or in other words,

- The prior is not important asymptotically
- Posterior coincides with MLE estimate

Remaining problems

- Select a prior that models the nature of the parameter well
- Make sure that the prior allows for computations of

$$\int p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \, d\boldsymbol{\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad E(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x})$$

The second problem is, e.g., solved with Gaussian priors

Conditional Gaussian distribution

Theorem 10.2 (Conditional PDF of Multivariate Gaussian) If x and θ are jointly Gaussian, where \mathbf{x} is $k \times 1$ and θ is $l \times 1$, with mean vector $[E(\mathbf{x})^T E(\theta)^T]^T$ and partitioned covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{x}\mathsf{x}} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{x}\theta} \\ \mathbf{C}_{\theta\mathsf{x}} & \mathbf{C}_{\theta\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{l} \\ \mathbf{l} \times \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{l} \times \mathbf{l} \end{bmatrix}$$
(10.23)

so that

$$p(\theta, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{k+l}{2}} \det^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{C})} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \theta - \mathbf{E}(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \theta - \mathbf{E}(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right) \right],$$

then the conditional PDF $p(\theta|x)$ is also Gaussian and

$$E(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{x}) = E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{C}_{\theta \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - E(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\theta \mid \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{C}_{\theta \theta} - \mathbf{C}_{\theta \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x} \theta}.$$

Note that conditional variance does not depend on x

"Bayesian" Linear model

Consider a model according to $x=H\theta+w, w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, C_w)$

"Bayesian" Linear model

```
Consider a model according to x=H\theta+w, w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, C_w)
```

```
Assign a normal prior to \boldsymbol{\theta}: \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}})
```

We are interested in computing $p(\theta | \mathbf{x})$, and in particular $E(\theta | \mathbf{x})$

"Bayesian" Linear model

```
Consider a model according to x=H\theta+w, w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, C_w)
```

```
Assign a normal prior to \boldsymbol{\theta}: \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}})
```

We are interested in computing $p(\theta | \mathbf{x})$, and in particular $E(\theta | \mathbf{x})$

Make observation that x and **0** are jointly Gaussian Theorem 2 Applies

Conditional Gaussian distribution

Theorem 10.2 (Conditional PDF of Multivariate Gaussian) If x and θ are jointly Gaussian, where \mathbf{x} is $k \times 1$ and θ is $l \times 1$, with mean vector $[E(\mathbf{x})^T E(\theta)^T]^T$ and partitioned covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{x}\mathsf{x}} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{x}\theta} \\ \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{\theta}\mathsf{x}} & \mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{\theta}\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k \times k & k \times l \\ l \times k & l \times l \end{bmatrix}$$
(10.23)

so that

$$p(\theta, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{k+l}{2}} \det^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{C})} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \theta - \mathbf{E}(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \theta - \mathbf{E}(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right) \right],$$

then the conditional PDF $p(\theta|x)$ is also Gaussian and

$$E(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{x}) = E(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \mathbf{C}_{\theta \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - E(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{\theta \mid \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{C}_{\theta \theta} - \mathbf{C}_{\theta \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x} \theta}.$$

Note that conditional variance does not depend on x



 $\mathsf{E}(\mathbf{\theta})$, but this is $\mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{\theta}}$ by assumption $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{\mu}_{\mathbf{\theta}}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{\theta}})$



 $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})$, but this is easy: $\mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$



then the conditional PDF
$$p(\theta | \mathbf{x})$$
 is also Gaussian and

$$E(\theta | \mathbf{x}) = E(\theta) + \mathbf{C}_{\theta \mathbf{y}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - E(\mathbf{x}))$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{|\theta|\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{C}_{\theta \theta} - \mathbf{C}_{\theta \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}\theta}.$$
x=H0+w

We need to compute

 $E(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, but this is $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ by assumption $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$

 $E(\mathbf{x})$, but this is easy: $H\mu_{\theta}$

 $C_{\theta\theta}$, but this is C_{θ} by assumption

```
C_{xx,r}, easy as well: HC_{\theta}H^{T}+C_{w}
```



Collect everything to get

Theorem 10.3 (Posterior PDF for the Bayesian General Linear Model) If the observed data x can be modeled as

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{w} \tag{10.27}$$

where **x** is an $N \times 1$ data vector, **H** is a known $N \times p$ matrix, $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is a $p \times 1$ random vector with prior PDF $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$, and **w** is an $N \times 1$ noise vector with PDF $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}_w)$ and independent of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, then the posterior PDF $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x})$ is Gaussian with mean

$$E(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{H}^{T}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\mathbf{H}^{T} + \mathbf{C}_{w})^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$$
(10.28)

and covariance

$$\mathbf{C}_{\theta|x} = \mathbf{C}_{\theta} - \mathbf{C}_{\theta} \mathbf{H}^{T} (\mathbf{H} \mathbf{C}_{\theta} \mathbf{H}^{T} + \mathbf{C}_{w})^{-1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{C}_{\theta}.$$
(10.29)

Note:

- 1. H can be of reduced rank
- 2. Estimator is linear in observation x

DC-level estimation with Gaussian prior. x[n]=A+w[n]

Signal model (linear)	Noise covariance	Prior	
$\mathbf{x} = 1\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{w}$	C _w =I\sigma ²	$A \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$	
$E(A \mathbf{x}) = $ Apply Theorem 10.3			

DC-level estimation with Gaussian prior. x[n]=A+w[n]

Signal model (linear)Noise covariancePrior $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{1}A + \mathbf{w}$ $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{I}\sigma^2$ $A \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$ $E(A|\mathbf{x}) =$ $= \mu_A + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}}(\bar{x} - \mu_A)$

DC-level estimation with Gaussian prior. x[n]=A+w[n]



DC-level estimation with Gaussian prior. x[n]=A+w[n]

Signal model (linear) Noise covariance Prior $A \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$ $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{1}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{w}$ $C_w = I\sigma^2$ $E(A|\mathbf{x}) = \dots = \mu_A + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}} (\bar{x} - \mu_A)$ If sample mean agrees with prior mean, then there is no update

DC-level estimation with Gaussian prior. x[n]=A+w[n]

Signal model (linear) Noise covariance Prior $A \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$ $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{1}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{w}$ $C_w = I\sigma^2$ $E(A|\mathbf{x}) = \dots = \mu_A + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}} (\bar{x} - \mu_A)$ If N is very large, or σ^2 very small, estimator is the sample mean

DC-level estimation with Gaussian prior. x[n]=A+w[n]

Signal model (linear) Noise covariance Prior $A \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$ $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{1}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{w}$ $C_w = I\sigma^2$ $E(A|\mathbf{x}) = \dots = \mu_A + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}} (\bar{x} - \mu_A)$ If prior is very "un-informative" $\sigma^2_A \approx \infty$, estimator is the sample mean

DC-level estimation with Gaussian prior. x[n]=A+w[n]



DC-level estimation with Gaussian prior. x[n]=A+w[n]



This is not the Bmse!

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = \iint (A - \hat{A})^2 p(\mathbf{x}, A) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dA$$
$$= \iint (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dA p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

DC-level estimation with Gaussian prior. x[n]=A+w[n]

Signal model (linear)	Noise covariance	Prior
$\mathbf{x} = 1\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{w}$	C _w =Ισ²	$A \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$
$\operatorname{var}(A \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{N} \left(\frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}} \right)$		

This is not the Bmse!

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = \iint (A - \hat{A})^2 p(\mathbf{x}, A) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dA$$

$$= \iint (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dAp(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \iint [A - E(A|\mathbf{x})]^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dAp(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \iint \operatorname{var}(A|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. =$$

DC-level estimation with Gaussian prior. x[n]=A+w[n]

Signal model (linear)Noise covariancePrior $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{1}A + \mathbf{w}$ $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{I}\sigma^2$ $A \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$ $\mathrm{Bmse}(\hat{A}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{N} \left(\frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}} \right)$

This is not the Bmse in general, but happens to be in this case.....!

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = \iint (A - \hat{A})^2 p(\mathbf{x}, A) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dA$$

$$= \iint (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dAp(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \iint [A - E(A|\mathbf{x})]^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dAp(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \iint \operatorname{var}(A|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. = \operatorname{var}(A|\mathbf{x})$$

DC-level estimation with Gaussian prior. x[n]=A+w[n]

Signal model (linear)	Noise covariance	Prior
$\mathbf{x} = 1\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{w}$	C _w =Ισ²	$A \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_A, \sigma_A^2)$
$Bmse(\hat{A}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{N} \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_A^2} - \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_A^2} \right)$	$\frac{\frac{2}{A}}{\frac{\sigma^{2}}{N}}$ With no provide a BMSE = σ^{2} . With prior BMSE < σ^{2} .	rior info σ² _A ≈∞ /N ⁻ info σ² _A <∞ /N

This is not the Bmse in general, but happens to be in this case.....!

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = \iint (A - \hat{A})^2 p(\mathbf{x}, A) \, d\mathbf{x} \, dA$$

$$= \iint (A - \hat{A})^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dAp(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \iint [A - E(A|\mathbf{x})]^2 p(A|\mathbf{x}) \, dAp(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}$$

$$= \iint \operatorname{var}(A|\mathbf{x}) p(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. = \operatorname{var}(A|\mathbf{x})$$

Reproducing property and conjugate priors

```
Notice what happened
Prior p(\theta) = Uniform \rightarrow p(x|\theta) \rightarrow Posterior p(\theta|x) = not Uniform
Prior p(\theta) = Gaussian \rightarrow p(x|\theta) \rightarrow Posterior p(\theta|x) = Gaussian
Second case is <u>much</u> easier to work with as we do not have to compute the pdf
of the posterior, only its parameters. Reproducing property
```
Reproducing property and conjugate priors

Conjugate prior

For a conditional pdf $p(x|\theta)$, a prior $p(\theta)$ with the property that the posterior $p(\theta|x)$ has the same form as $p(\theta)$ is said to be a conjugate prior

Very desirable property for analytically establishing the MMSE estimator

Long tables of conjugate priors exist

Conditional pdfs from the exponential family have conjugate priors

Jeffrey's prior

DC-level in white noise

If we know that 1 < A < 2, then it is reasonable with a uniform prior U[1,2]

Jeffrey's prior

DC-level in white noise

If we know that 1 < A < 2, then it is reasonable with a uniform prior U[1,2]

But, one could also say that it is the power that should be uniform, so $p(A^2)$ is U[1,4]

Jeffrey's prior

DC-level in white noise

If we know that 1 < A < 2, then it is reasonable with a uniform prior U[1,2]

But, one could also say that it is the power that should be uniform, so p(A²) is U[1,4]

Maybe power in dBs is uniform, so $p(\log A^2)$ is U(0,0.6)

Maybe there is some other parametrization of space that makes sense?

Jeffrey's prior

Now note what happens

$$p(A) = U[1,2] \longrightarrow p(x|A) \longrightarrow p_1(A|x)$$

$$p(A^2) = U[1,4] \longrightarrow p(x|A) \longrightarrow p_2(A^2|x)$$

Jeffrey's prior

Now note what happens

$$p(A) = U[1,2] \longrightarrow p(x|A) \longrightarrow p_1(A|x)$$

$$p_3(A|x) \longrightarrow p_2(A^2|x) \longrightarrow A = \sqrt{A^2}$$

$$p(x|A) \longrightarrow p_2(A^2|x) \longrightarrow Variable change$$

Do $p_1(A|x)$ and $p_3(A|x)$ match? That is, does the two different priors represent the same thing?

Jeffrey's prior

Now note what happens

$$p(A) = U[1,2] \longrightarrow p(x|A) \longrightarrow p_1(A|x)$$

$$p_3(A|x) \longrightarrow p_2(A^2|x) \longrightarrow A = \sqrt{A^2}$$

$$p(x|A) \longrightarrow p_2(A^2|x) \longrightarrow Variable change$$

Do $p_1(A|x)$ and $p_3(A|x)$ match? That is, does the two different priors represent the same thing?

NO!!!

Jeffrey's prior

Jeffrey's prior is invariant under re-parameterization of space

$$p(A) = \text{Jeffrey's} \qquad p(x|A) \qquad p_1(A|x)$$

$$p(A^2) = \text{Jeffrey's} \qquad p(x|A) \qquad p_2(A^2|x) \qquad A = \sqrt{A^2}$$
Variable change

With Jeffrey's prior it does not matter what parameterization we use, the results are invariant

Jeffrey's prior

Jeffrey's prior is invariant under re-parameterization of space

$$p(A) = \text{Jeffrey's} \qquad p(x|A) \qquad p_1(A|x)$$

$$p(A^2) = \text{Jeffrey's} \qquad p(x|A) \qquad p_2(A^2|x) \qquad A = \sqrt{A^2}$$

$$Variable change$$

$$Jeffrey's prior: \qquad p(\theta) \propto \sqrt{I(\theta)}$$

Jeffrey's prior





Reference priors

Consider the situation once again



In order for the data observation to be meaningful, it should provide information of A The prior should not dominate, it should be "uninformative"

Reference priors

Consider the situation once again



In order for the data observation to be meaningful, it should provide information of A

The prior should not dominate, it should be "uninformative"

The posterior and the prior should be far from each other







Reference priors



Maximizes the contribution from the observed data. Provides the least information possible

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

If no MVU estimator exists, or is very hard to find, we can apply an MMSE estimator To deterministic parameters

Recall the form of the Bayesian estimator for DC-levels in WGN

$$E(A|\mathbf{x}) = \mu_A + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}} (\bar{x} - \mu_A) = \alpha \bar{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mu_A \qquad \alpha = \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}}$$

Compute the MSE for a given value of A

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

If no MVU estimator exists, or is very hard to find, we can apply an MMSE estimator To deterministic parameters

Recall the form of the Bayesian estimator for DC-levels in WGN

$$E(A|\mathbf{x}) = \mu_A + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}} (\bar{x} - \mu_A) = \alpha \bar{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mu_A \qquad \alpha < 1$$

Compute the MSE for a given value of A

$$mse(\hat{A}) = var(\hat{A}) + b^{2}(\hat{A})$$

$$= \alpha^{2}var(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1-\alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$

$$= \alpha^{2}\frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1-\alpha)^{2}(A-\mu_{A})^{2}.$$

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

If no MVU estimator exists, or is very hard to find, we can apply an MMSE estimator To deterministic parameters

Recall the form of the Bayesian estimator for DC-levels in WGN

$$E(A|\mathbf{x}) = \mu_A + \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{N}} (\bar{x} - \mu_A) = \alpha \bar{x} + (1 - \alpha)\mu_A \qquad \alpha < 1$$

Compute the MSE for a given value of A

$$mse(\hat{A}) = var(\hat{A}) + b^{2}(\hat{A})$$

$$= \alpha^{2}var(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1-\alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$

$$= \alpha^{2}\frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1-\alpha)^{2}(A-\mu_{A})^{2}.$$

Variance smaller than classical estimator

Large bias for large A

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters



MSE for Bayesian is smaller for A close to the prior mean, but larger far away

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

However, the BMSE is smaller

 $Bmse(\hat{A}) = E_A[mse(\hat{A})]$

$$= \alpha^{2} \operatorname{var}(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$
$$= \alpha^{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1 - \alpha)^{2} (A - \mu_{A})^{2}.$$

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

However, the BMSE is smaller

Bmse
$$(\hat{A}) = E_A[mse(\hat{A})]$$

= $\alpha^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{N} + (1-\alpha)^2 E_A[(A-\mu_A)^2]$

$$= \alpha^{2} \operatorname{var}(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$
$$= \alpha^{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1 - \alpha)^{2} (A - \mu_{A})^{2}.$$

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

However, the BMSE is smaller

$$Bmse(\hat{A}) = E_A[mse(\hat{A})]$$

= $\alpha^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{N} + (1-\alpha)^2 E_A[(A-\mu_A)^2]$
= $\alpha^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{N} + (1-\alpha)^2 \sigma_A^2$

$$= \alpha^{2} \operatorname{var}(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$
$$= \alpha^{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1 - \alpha)^{2} (A - \mu_{A})^{2}.$$

Section 10.8 Bayesian estimators for deterministic parameters

However, the BMSE is smaller

$$= \alpha^{2} \operatorname{var}(\bar{x}) + [\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)\mu_{A} - A]^{2}$$

= $\alpha^{2} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N} + (1 - \alpha)^{2} (A - \mu_{A})^{2}.$