# Estimation Theory Fredrik Rusek

### Chapters 8-9 + a bit more

**Previous chapters: Probabilistic model of observations x[n]** 

Least squares:

- No probabilistic assumption
- Signal model needed



**Definition of least squares** 

Choose  $\theta$  so that we minimize

$$J(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - s[n])^2$$



#### **Application areas of least squares**

Observations are: deterministic signal + zero mean noise

#### **Application areas of least squares**

Observations are: deterministic signal + zero mean noise

Linear Least squares vs non-linear least squares

A linear LS problem is one where the s[n] is linear in the parameter  $\theta$  to be estimated

#### **Application areas of least squares**

Observations are: deterministic signal + zero mean noise

Linear Least squares vs non-linear least squares

A linear LS problem is one where the s[n] is linear in the parameter  $\theta$  to be estimated

$$s[n] = A\cos 2\pi f_0 n$$

Estimation of:

- A is a linear LS problem
- f<sub>0</sub> is a non-linear estimation problem

#### **Application areas of least squares**

Observations are: deterministic signal + zero mean noise

Linear Least squares vs non-linear least squares

A linear LS problem is one where the s[n] is linear in the parameter  $\theta$  to be estimated

$$s[n] = A\cos 2\pi f_0 n$$

Estimation of:

- A is a linear LS problem
- f<sub>0</sub> is a non-linear estimation problem
- Both A and  $f_0$  is linear in A, but non-linear in  $f_0$  (More about this later)

#### Linear least squares: scalar case

Signal model

$$s[n] = heta h[n]$$

min 
$$J( heta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - heta h[n])^2$$

#### Linear least squares: scalar case

Signal model

$$s[n] = heta h[n]$$

min 
$$J( heta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - heta h[n])^2$$

Solution 
$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum\limits_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]h[n]}{\sum\limits_{n=0}^{N-1} h^2[n]} \quad J_{\min} = J(\hat{\theta}) = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2[n] - \hat{\theta} \sum\limits_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]h[n]$$

#### Linear least squares: scalar case

Signal model

$$s[n] = heta h[n]$$

$$\min \ J(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - \theta h[n])^2$$
Clearly:
$$0 \le J_{\min} \le \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2[n]$$
Solution
$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]h[n]}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} h^2[n]} \quad J_{\min} = J(\hat{\theta}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2[n] - \hat{\theta} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]h[n]$$

#### Linear least squares: scalar case

Signal model

Solution

Optimization problem  

$$Inin \quad J(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - \theta h[n])^2$$
Solution 
$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]h[n]}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} J_{\min}} = J(\hat{\theta}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2[n] - \hat{\theta} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]h[n]$$

#### Linear least squares: multivariate case

Signal model

$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

min 
$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

#### Linear least squares: multivariate case

Signal model

$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

min 
$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Gradient 
$$\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = -2\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Solution 
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x}$$

#### Linear least squares: multivariate case

Signal model

$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

min 
$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$$
  
**When is the LS**  
**The BLUE?**  
**Efficient?**

Gradient 
$$\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = -2\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Solution 
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x}$$

#### Linear least squares: multivariate case

Signal model

$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Optimization problem

min 
$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

When is the LS The BLUE? Noise-cov is identity Efficient? Noise is Gaussian

Gradient 
$$\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = -2\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Solution 
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x}$$

#### Linear least squares: multivariate case

Minimum value

$$J_{\min} = J(\hat{\theta})$$
  
=  $(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\hat{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\hat{\theta})$   
=  $(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H})^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{x})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H})^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{x})$   
=  $\mathbf{x}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H})^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T) (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H})^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T) \mathbf{x}$   
=  $\mathbf{x}^T (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H})^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T) \mathbf{x}$ .

Optimization problem

min 
$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

When is the LS The BLUE? Noise-cov is identity Efficient? Noise is Gaussian

Gradient 
$$\frac{\partial J(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = -2\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta}$$

Solution 
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x}$$

#### Weighted Linear least squares: multivariate case

**Optimization problem** 

min 
$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

solution

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{x}$$

Is the BLUE if W is taken as the noise covariance matrix

#### **Summary of linear LS**

- Needs no probabilistic knowledge
- Needs observations that are linear in parameter + zero mean noise
- Is the BLUE if noise is uncorrelated
- If efficient if noise is white Guassian
- Weighted linear LS estimators are BLUE if the weights are properly selected

Almost nothing new

### **Tikhonov regularization**

- If the matrix *H* is badly conditioned, the estimator tends to "blow up"
- To penalize large values of  $\theta$  (x below) Tikhonov regularization is commonly applied

 $\|A\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 + \|\Gamma \mathbf{x}\|^2$ 

#### **Geometrical interpretation**

Х

**H** is (N x p) matrix

How to best approximate the N-dim observation x in the p-dimensional space

P-dimensional Subspace spanned by H X (observations) lie in an N-dimensional space









**Geometrical interpretation** 



**Orthogonality principle** 

#### **Geometrical interpretation**

Estimator 
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x}$$

Projected signal  $\hat{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{H}\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H})^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{x}$ 

Projection matrix  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H})^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T$ (projects a signal onto subspace spanned by H)



Section 8.6 and 8.7: good to know about, but not much more

#### Section 8.6 and 8.7: good to know about, but not much more



Should it be 1st, 2nd, 3d, or even higher order??

#### Section 8.6 and 8.7: good to know about, but not much more



There is a recursive method that finds the solution for order n given that n-1 is already done

Hence, one can reuse the computations...not much more in this section

#### Section 8.6 and 8.7: good to know about, but not much more

#### Section 8.7

Given the LS estimate for N-1 observations, how to find the LS estimate with one additional observation?

A toolbox for this exist. This is in Section 8.7....not much more...

Scalar case (DC level in white noise)

$$\hat{A}[N] = \hat{A}[N-1] + \frac{1}{N+1} \left( x[N] - \hat{A}[N-1] \right)$$
$$J_{\min}[N] = J_{\min}[N-1] + \frac{N}{N+1} (x[N] - \hat{A}[N-1])^2$$

#### **Section 8.8: constrained least squares**

Suppose that the parameter vector is constrained to r<p constraints (A is rxp matrix, rank=r)

 $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{b}$ 

min 
$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$$
  
such that  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{b}$ 

**Section 8.8: constrained least squares** 

min 
$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

such that  $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{ heta} = \mathbf{b}$ 

$$J_c = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{b})$$

**Section 8.8: constrained least squares** 

min 
$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

such that  $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{b}$ 

Lagrangian

A .

$$J_c = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{b})$$

$$\frac{\partial J_c}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = -2\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{A}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}$$

**Section 8.8: constrained least squares** 

min 
$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

such that  $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{ heta} = \mathbf{b}$ 

$$J_c = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{b})$$

$$\frac{\partial J_c}{\partial \theta} = -2\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \theta + \mathbf{A}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}$$
$$\hat{\theta}_c = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}$$
$$= \hat{\theta} - (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \frac{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}{2}$$

**Section 8.8: constrained least squares** 

min  $J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$ such that  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{b}$ 

$$J_c = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{b})$$

$$\frac{\partial J_c}{\partial \theta} = -2\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \theta + \mathbf{A}^T \lambda \qquad \mathbf{A}_c = \mathbf{A}\hat{\theta} - \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \frac{\lambda}{2} = \mathbf{b}$$
$$\hat{\theta}_c = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \lambda \qquad \frac{\lambda}{2} = [\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T]^{-1} (\mathbf{A}\hat{\theta} - \mathbf{b}).$$
$$= \hat{\theta} - (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \frac{\lambda}{2}$$

**Section 8.8: constrained least squares** 

min 
$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

such that  $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{b}$ 

$$J_c = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\theta} - \mathbf{b})$$

$$\frac{\partial J_c}{\partial \theta} = -2\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{A}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}$$
$$\hat{\theta}_c = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}$$
$$= \hat{\theta} - (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T [\mathbf{A} (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T]^{-1} (\mathbf{A} \hat{\theta} - \mathbf{b})$$
#### **Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares**

If the signal is not linear in  $\theta$  a non-quadratic optimization results, termed non-linear LS

$$J = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$$

Check-point: When is the non-linear LS also the MLE?

#### **Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares**

Apart from numerical search, two methods are presented

1. Transformation of parameters

We try to find a fiunction  $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  such that

 $\mathbf{s}(\boldsymbol{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})) = \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ 

Solve the linear LS problem  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x}$ and plug back  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{g}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})$ 

#### Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares

Example 8.9

Find A and  $\phi$  ,  ${\sf f}_{\sf 0}$  is known

$$s[n] = A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi)$$
  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ 

Problem is non-linear in the phase  $J = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi))^2$ 

#### Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares

Example 8.9

Find A and  $\phi$  ,  ${\sf f}_{\sf 0}$  is known

$$s[n] = A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi)$$
  $n = 0, 1, ..., N - 1$ 

Problem is non-linear in the phase 
$$J = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(x[n] - A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi)\right)^2$$

but  $A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi) = A\cos\phi\cos 2\pi f_0 n - A\sin\phi\sin 2\pi f_0 n$ 

$$egin{array}{rcl} lpha_1&=&A\cos\phi\ lpha_2&=&-A\sin\phi \end{array} s[n]=lpha_1\cos2\pi f_0n+lpha_2\sin2\pi f_0n$$

#### **Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares**

Example 8.9

Find A and  $\phi$ , f<sub>0</sub> is known

$$s[n] = A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi)$$
  $n = 0, 1, ..., N - 1$ 

Problem is non-linear in the phase 
$$J = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(x[n] - A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi)\right)^2$$

but  $A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi) = A\cos\phi\cos 2\pi f_0 n - A\sin\phi\sin 2\pi f_0 n$ 

$$egin{array}{rcl} lpha_1&=&A\cos\phi\ lpha_2&=&-A\sin\phi \end{array} s[n]=lpha_1\cos2\pi f_0n+lpha_2\sin2\pi f_0n \end{array}$$

1

Signal is linear in  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$ 

$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{H}\boldsymbol{\alpha} \qquad \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \cos 2\pi f_0 & \sin 2\pi f_0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \cos 2\pi f_0 (N-1) & \sin 2\pi f_0 (N-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares

Example 8.9

Find A and  $\phi$  ,  $f_0$  is known

$$s[n] = A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi)$$
  $n = 0, 1, ..., N - 1$ 

Problem is non-linear in the phase 
$$J = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(x[n] - A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi)\right)^2$$

but  $A\cos(2\pi f_0 n + \phi) = A\cos\phi\cos 2\pi f_0 n - A\sin\phi\sin 2\pi f_0 n$ 

$$egin{array}{rcl} lpha_1&=&A\cos\phi\ lpha_2&=&-A\sin\phi \end{array} s[n]=lpha_1\cos2\pi f_0n+lpha_2\sin2\pi f_0n$$

Estimator is  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{x}$   $A = \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}$ Inverse mapping is  $\phi = \arctan\left(\frac{-\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}\right)$ 

$$\hat{oldsymbol{ heta}} = \left[egin{array}{c} \sqrt{\hat{lpha}_1^2 + \hat{lpha}_2^2} \ lpha ext{rctan} \left(rac{-\hat{lpha}_2}{\hat{lpha}_1}
ight) \end{array}
ight]$$

#### **Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares**

Apart from numerical search, two methods are presented

- **1. Transformation of parameters**
- 2. Separable problems (half-linear, half non-linear)

With a signal model according to

Matrix, non-linear in 
$$lpha$$
  
 $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{H}(oldsymbol{lpha})oldsymbol{eta}$ 

We get a linear problem in  $\beta$ , but non-linear in  $\alpha$ .

$$J(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \left(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)^T \left(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)$$

#### **Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares**

Apart from numerical search, two methods are presented

- **1. Transformation of parameters**
- 2. Separable problems (half-linear, half non-linear)

With a signal model according to

$$\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{H}(oldsymbol{lpha})oldsymbol{eta}$$

We get a linear problem in  $\beta$ , but non-linear in  $\alpha$ .

$$J(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\beta})^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

For given  $H(\alpha)$ , optimal  $\beta$  (in a LS sense) is

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\mathbf{H}^T(\boldsymbol{lpha})\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{lpha})\right)^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T(\boldsymbol{lpha})\mathbf{x}$ 

#### Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares

Apart from numerical search, two methods are presented

- **1. Transformation of parameters**
- 2. Separable problems (half-linear, half non-linear)

With a signal model according to

Matrix, non-linear in 
$$lpha$$
  
 $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{H}(oldsymbol{lpha})oldsymbol{eta}$ 

We get a linear problem in  $\beta$ , but non-linear in  $\alpha$ .

$$J(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \mathbf{x}^{T} \left[ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \left( \mathbf{H}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \right] \mathbf{x}$$

For given  $H(\alpha)$ , optimal  $\beta$  (in a LS sense) is

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\mathbf{H}^T(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\right)^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\mathbf{x}$ 

#### **Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares**

Apart from numerical search, two methods are presented

- 1. Transformation of parameters
- 2. Separable problems (half-linear, half non-linear)

With a signal model according to

Matrix, non-linear in 
$$lpha$$
  
 $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{H}(oldsymbol{lpha})oldsymbol{eta}$ 

We get a linear problem in  $\beta$ , but non-linear in  $\alpha$ .

$$J(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \mathbf{x}^{T} \left[ \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \left( \mathbf{H}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \right)^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \right] \mathbf{x}$$

For given  $H(\alpha)$ , optimal  $\beta$  (in a LS sense) is

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\mathbf{H}^T(\boldsymbol{lpha})\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{lpha})\right)^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T(\boldsymbol{lpha})\mathbf{x}$ 

 $max \ \mathbf{x}^{T}\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\left(\mathbf{H}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\mathbf{H}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\right)^{-1}\mathbf{H}^{T}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})\mathbf{x}$ 

#### Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares

Example 8.10

Estimate  $\{A_1,A_2,A_3,r\}$ 

$$s[n] = A_1 r^n + A_2 r^{2n} + A_3 r^{3n}$$

#### Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares

Example 8.10

Estimate 
$$\{A_1, A_2, A_3, r\}$$

$$s[n] = A_1 r^n + A_2 r^{2n} + A_3 r^{3n}$$

Signal is linear in  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ , non-linear in r

#### Section 8.9: non-linear Least squares

Example 8.10

Estimate 
$$\{A_1, A_2, A_3, r\}$$

$$s[n] = A_1 r^n + A_2 r^{2n} + A_3 r^{3n}$$

Signal is linear in  $A_1, A_2, A_3$ , non-linear in r

$$\mathbf{H}(r) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ r & r^2 & r^3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ r^{N-1} & r^{2(N-1)} & r^{3(N-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$

LSE for the amplitudes  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\mathbf{H}^T(\hat{r})\mathbf{H}(\hat{r})\right)^{-1}\mathbf{H}^T(\hat{r})\mathbf{x}$ 

LSE for r max  $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{H}(r) (\mathbf{H}^T(r)\mathbf{H}(r))^{-1} \mathbf{H}^T(r)\mathbf{x}$ 

Consider a Gaussian mixture pdf

$$\begin{split} p(x[n];\epsilon) &= \frac{1-\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_1^2}\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_2^2}\right) \\ p(x[n];\epsilon) &= (1-\epsilon)\phi_1(x[n]) + \epsilon\phi_2(x[n]) \\ \phi_i(x[n]) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_i^2}\right). \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_1^2)$  PDF with probability  $1 - \epsilon$  and from a  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2)$  PDF with probability  $\epsilon$ .

Consider a Gaussian mixture pdf

$$\begin{split} p(x[n];\epsilon) &= \frac{1-\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_1^2}\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_2^2}\right) \\ p(x[n];\epsilon) &= (1-\epsilon)\phi_1(x[n]) + \epsilon\phi_2(x[n]) \\ \phi_i(x[n]) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_i^2}\right). \end{split}$$

 $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_1^2)$  PDF with probability  $1 - \epsilon$  and from a  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_2^2)$  PDF with probability  $\epsilon$ .

Our methods to find MVU estimator for  $\epsilon$  will all fail Options:

1. MLE

2. Method of moments (much simpler)

Consider a Gaussian mixture pdf

$$\begin{split} p(x[n];\epsilon) &= \frac{1-\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_1^2}\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_2^2}\right) \\ p(x[n];\epsilon) &= (1-\epsilon)\phi_1(x[n]) + \epsilon\phi_2(x[n]) \\ \phi_i(x[n]) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_i^2}\right). \end{split}$$

Second moment  

$$E(x^{2}[n]) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2}[n] \left[ (1-\epsilon)\phi_{1}(x[n]) + \epsilon \phi_{2}(x[n]) \right] dx[n]$$

$$= (1-\epsilon)\sigma_{1}^{2} + \epsilon \sigma_{2}^{2}$$

Consider a Gaussian mixture pdf

$$\begin{split} p(x[n];\epsilon) &= \frac{1-\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_1^2}\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_2^2}\right) \\ p(x[n];\epsilon) &= (1-\epsilon)\phi_1(x[n]) + \epsilon\phi_2(x[n]) \\ \phi_i(x[n]) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_i^2}\right). \end{split}$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2[n] = (1-\epsilon)\sigma_1^2 + \epsilon \sigma_2^2$$

$$\hat{\epsilon} = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2[n] - \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2}$$

Not optimal, not unbiased in general, but simple to find

Consider a Gaussian mixture pdf

. .

$$\begin{split} p(x[n];\epsilon) &= \frac{1-\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_1^2}\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_2^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_2^2}\right) \\ p(x[n];\epsilon) &= (1-\epsilon)\phi_1(x[n]) + \epsilon\phi_2(x[n]) \\ \phi_i(x[n]) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_i^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x^2[n]}{\sigma_i^2}\right). \end{split}$$

Second moment. Replace with its estimator

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2[n] = (1-\epsilon)\sigma_1^2 + \epsilon \sigma_2^2$$

$$\hat{\epsilon} = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2[n] - \sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2}$$

As 
$$N \to \infty$$
,  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^2[n] \to E(x^2[n])$  so  $\hat{\epsilon} \to \epsilon$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

Method of moments, scalar case

kth moment $\mu_k = E(x^k[n]) = h(\theta)$ 

Method of moments, scalar case

kth moment  $\mu_k = E(x^k[n]) = h(\theta)$ Find inverse function  $\theta = h^{-1}(\mu_k)$ 

Method of moments, scalar case

kth moment  $\mu_k = E(x^k[n]) = h(\theta)$ Find inverse function  $\theta = h^{-1}(\mu_k)$ Replace moment with estimate  $\hat{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^k[n]$ 

Method of moments, scalar case

kth momentconclude $\mu_k = E(x^k[n]) = h(\theta)$  $\hat{\theta} = h^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^k[n] \right)$ Find inverse function $\hat{\theta} = h^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^k[n] \right)$  $\theta = h^{-1}(\mu_k)$ Replace moment with estimate $\hat{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x^k[n]$ 

Example 9.2: exponential pdf

**Exponential pdf** 
$$p(x[n];\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda \exp(-\lambda x[n]) & x[n] > 0 \\ 0 & x[n] < 0. \end{cases}$$
  
 $\mu_1 = E(x[n]) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ 

Example 9.2: exponential pdf

**Exponential pdf** 
$$p(x[n];\lambda) = \begin{cases} \lambda \exp(-\lambda x[n]) & x[n] > 0\\ 0 & x[n] < 0. \end{cases}$$
  
$$\mu_1 = E(x[n]) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$
$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]}$$

According to discussion yesterday, this is not MVU

Method of moments, vector parameter

Find p moments			find inverse
$\mu_1$	=	$h_1( heta_1, heta_2,\dots, heta_p)$	$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \mathbf{h}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$
$\mu_2$	=	$h_2( heta_1, heta_2,\dots, heta_p)$	$\frac{conclude}{\hat{\theta}} = \mathbf{h}^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$
:	:	•	
$\mu_p$	=	$h_p( heta_1, heta_2,\ldots, heta_p)$	
$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{ heta})$			

Method of moments, Performance

Definition of method of moments  $\hat{\theta} = g(\mathbf{T})$  where  $E(\mathbf{T}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ 

Taylor expand around  $\mu$ 

$$\hat{\theta} = g(\mathbf{T}) \approx g(\boldsymbol{\mu}) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial T_k} \right|_{\mathbf{T} = \boldsymbol{\mu}} (T_k - \mu_k)$$

Method of moments, Performance

Definition of method of moments  $\hat{\theta} = g(\mathbf{T})$  where  $E(\mathbf{T}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ 

Taylor expand around  $\mu$ 

$$\hat{\theta} = g(\mathbf{T}) \approx g(\boldsymbol{\mu}) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial T_k} \right|_{\mathbf{T} = \boldsymbol{\mu}} (T_k - \mu_k)$$

To the precision of the linearization  $E(\hat{ heta}) = g(oldsymbol{\mu})$ 

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}) = E\left\{ \left[ g(\boldsymbol{\mu}) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \Big|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{T} (\mathbf{T}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) - E(\hat{\theta}) \right]^{2} \right\} = E\left\{ \left[ g(\boldsymbol{\mu}) + \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \Big|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{T} (\mathbf{T}-\boldsymbol{\mu}) - g(\boldsymbol{\mu}) \right]^{2} \right\}$$

$$= E\left\{ \left[ \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{T} \left( \mathbf{T} - \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \right]^{2} \right\} = \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{T} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}} \right\}$$

Method of moments, exponential distribution continued

**Recall estimator** 
$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]}$$

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{T} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}} \qquad \qquad E(\hat{\theta}) = g(\boldsymbol{\mu})$$

Method of moments, exponential distribution continued

**Recall estimator** 
$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]}$$

Identify  $\hat{\lambda} = g(T_1)$  $T_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \qquad g(T_1) = \frac{1}{T_1}$ 

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{T} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}} \qquad E(\hat{\theta}) = g(\boldsymbol{\mu})$$

Method of moments, exponential distribution continued

**Recall estimator** 
$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]}$$

Identify  $\hat{\lambda} = g(T_1)$ 

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \qquad g(T_1) = \frac{1}{T_1}$$

We should do T.S.E at mean of T

$$\mu_1 = E(T_1) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{T} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}} \qquad \qquad E(\hat{\theta}) = g(\boldsymbol{\mu})$$

Method of moments, exponential distribution continued

**Recall estimator** 
$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]}$$

Identify  $\hat{\lambda} = g(T_1)$ 

We should do T.S.E at mean of T

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \qquad g(T_1) = \frac{1}{T_1} \qquad \qquad \mu_1 = E(T_1) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Now, to the precision of the linear approximation

$$E(\hat{\lambda}) = g(\mu_1) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda}} = \lambda$$

 $\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{T} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}} \qquad \qquad E(\hat{\theta}) = g(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ 

Method of moments, exponential distribution continued

**Recall estimator** 
$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]}$$

Identify  $\hat{\lambda} = g(T_1)$ 

We should do T.S.E at mean of T

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \qquad g(T_1) = \frac{1}{T_1} \qquad \qquad \mu_1 = E(T_1) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Now, to the precision of the linear approximation

$$\operatorname{var}(T_1) = \frac{1}{N\lambda^2} \qquad \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial T_1}\Big|_{T_1 = \mu_1} = -\frac{1}{\mu_1^2} = -\lambda^2$$

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{T} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}} \qquad \qquad E(\hat{\theta}) = g(\boldsymbol{\mu})$$

Method of moments, exponential distribution continued

**Recall estimator** 
$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]}$$

Identify  $\hat{\lambda} = g(T_1)$ 

We should do T.S.E at mean of T

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \qquad g(T_1) = \frac{1}{T_1} \qquad \qquad \mu_1 = E(T_1) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Now, to the precision of the linear approximation

$$\operatorname{var}(T_1) = \frac{1}{N\lambda^2} \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial T_1} \right|_{T_1 = \mu_1} = -\frac{1}{\mu_1^2} = -\lambda^2$$

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\lambda}) = (-\lambda^2) \frac{1}{N\lambda^2} (-\lambda^2) = \frac{\lambda^2}{N}$$

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{T} \mathbf{C}_{T} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}} \qquad \qquad E(\hat{\theta}) = g(\boldsymbol{\mu})$$

Method of moments, exponential distribution continued

**Recall estimator** 
$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n]}$$

Identify  $\hat{\lambda} = g(T_1)$ 

We should do T.S.E at mean of T

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] \qquad g(T_1) = \frac{1}{T_1} \qquad \qquad \mu_1 = E(T_1) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Now, to the precision of the linear approximation

$$\operatorname{var}(T_{1}) = \frac{1}{N\lambda^{2}} \qquad \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial T_{1}} \right|_{T_{1}=\mu_{1}} = -\frac{1}{\mu_{1}^{2}} = -\lambda^{2}$$
$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\lambda}) = (-\lambda^{2}) \frac{1}{N\lambda^{2}} (-\lambda^{2}) = \frac{\lambda^{2}}{N}$$
$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \Big|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathbf{C}_{T} \left. \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{T}} \right|_{\mathbf{T}=\boldsymbol{\mu}} \qquad E(\hat{\theta}) = g(\boldsymbol{\mu})$$
Fisher information  
Per sample:  $\lambda^{2}$ 

In practice it is common to use cumulants rather than moments.

Cumulants are compressing the moments effectively

Cumulant generating function is log of moment generating function, thus, a a bit smaller in size and fluctuation" than the moments

Cumulants  $\begin{array}{l} \kappa_{2} = \mu_{2} \\ \kappa_{3} = \mu_{3} \\ \kappa_{4} = \mu_{4} - 3\mu_{2}^{2} \\ \kappa_{5} = \mu_{5} - 10\mu_{3}\mu_{2} \\ \kappa_{6} = \mu_{6} - 15\mu_{4}\mu_{2} - 10\mu_{3}^{2} + 30\mu_{2}^{3} \end{array}$ 

(3)

Example, PIMRC 2014

System model: y=x+n, X is M-QAM, n is noise.

#### Solution: linear combination of cumulants

Task: estimate M

#### $C_{20} = M_{20}$ $C_{40} = M_{40} - 3M_{20}^2$ **Used cumulants** $C_{41} = M_{40} - 3M_{20}M_{21}$ $C_{A2} = M_{A2} - abs(M_{20})^2 - 2M_{21}^2$ $C_{60} = M_{60} - 15M_{20}M_{40} + 30M_{20}^{3}$ $C_{61} = M_{61} - 5M_{21}M_{40} - 10M_{20}M_{41} + 30M_{20}^2M_{21}$ $C_{62} = M_{62} - 6M_{20}M_{42} - 8M_{21}M_{41} - M_{22}M_{40} + 6M_{20}^{2}M_{22}$ $+ 24M_{21}^2M_{20}$ $C_{63} = M_{63} - 9M_{21}M_{42} + 12M_{21}^{3} - 3M_{20}M_{43} - 3M_{22}M_{41}$ $+ 18M_{20}M_{21}M_{22}$



Figure 3: 200 realizations of 16-QAM and 64-QAM
## **Generalized method of moments**

Fairly new method. Nobel prize in economics 2013.

Find function g(,) so that  $m( heta_0) \equiv \mathrm{E}[g(Y_t, heta_0)] = 0$ 

Replace function by its estimator  $\hat{m}(\theta) \equiv \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} g(Y_t, \theta)$ 

Minimize the norm of 
$$\|\hat{m}(\theta) - \|\hat{m}(\theta)\|_W^2 = \hat{m}(\theta)' W \hat{m}(\theta)$$
,

Example: reciprocity calibration of MaMIMO.....

## **Generalized method of moments**

Fairly new method. Nobel prize in economics 2013.

Find function g(,) so that  $m( heta_0) \equiv \mathrm{E}[g(Y_t, heta_0)] = 0$ 

Replace function by its estimator  $\hat{m}(\theta) \equiv \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} g(Y_t, \theta)$ 

Minimize the norm of 
$$\hat{m}(\theta) = \|\hat{m}(\theta)\|_W^2 = \hat{m}(\theta)' W \hat{m}(\theta)$$
,

Optimal weights:  $W \propto ~\Omega^{-1}$ 

 $\Omega = \mathbf{E}[g(Y_t, \theta_0)g(Y_t, \theta_0)']$