WSS process

If x[n] is WSS, then it holds that $E\{x[n]\} = \mu, \ \forall n$ $E\{x[n]x[n+k]\} = \rho_k, \ \forall n$

If x[n] is Gaussian WSS, then x[n] is Gaussian

WSS process

If x[n] is WSS, then it holds that $E\{x[n]\} = \mu, \ \forall n$ $E\{x[n]x[n+k]\} = \rho_k, \ \forall n$

If x[n] is Gaussian WSS, then x[n] is Gaussian

Since x[n] is Gaussian, we can write $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(oldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{C}
ight)$

WSS process

If x[n] is WSS, then it holds that $E\{x[n]\} = \mu, \ \forall n$ $E\{x[n]x[n+k]\} = \rho_k, \ \forall n$

If x[n] is Gaussian WSS, then x[n] is Gaussian

Since x[n] is Gaussian, we can write $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(oldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{C}
ight)$

But since it is WSS Gaussian, $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Tp}})$

WSS process



WSS process











Loose Derivations

Consider a Toeplitz matrix of very large size















Loose Derivations

We have $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}(oldsymbol{ heta})
ight)$

We seek
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{i}}} \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{j}}} \right]$$

Loose Derivations

We have $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}(oldsymbol{ heta})
ight)$

We seek
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{i}}} \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{j}}} \right]$$

We know $\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{ heta}) = \mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{ heta}) \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{H}}$

Argumentation

•
$$[I(oldsymbol{ heta})]_{ij}$$
 Linear in N

Loose Derivations

We have $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}(oldsymbol{ heta})
ight)$

We seek
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{i}}} \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{j}}} \right]$$

We know $\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{ heta}) = \mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{ heta}) \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{H}}$

Argumentation

• $[I(oldsymbol{ heta})]_{ij}$ Linear in N

• Study
$$rac{1}{N}[I(oldsymbol{ heta})]_{ij}$$

Loose Derivations

We have $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}(oldsymbol{ heta})
ight)$

We seek
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{i}}} \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{j}}} \right]$$

We know $\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{ heta}) = \mathbf{Q} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{ heta}) \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{H}}$

Argumentation

• $[I(oldsymbol{ heta})]_{ij}$ Linear in N

• Study
$$rac{1}{N}[I(oldsymbol{ heta})]_{ij}$$

- But $\|\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Tp}} - \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{cTp}}\|^2$ is constant

Loose Derivations

We have $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}(oldsymbol{ heta})
ight)$

We seek
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{i}}} \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{j}}} \right]$$

We know $\mathbf{C}(oldsymbol{ heta}) = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Sigma}(oldsymbol{ heta}) \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{H}}$

• So $[I_{ ext{cTp}}(oldsymbol{ heta})]_{ij} - [I_{ ext{Tp}}(oldsymbol{ heta})]_{ij}$

does not depend on N

Argumentation

• $[I(oldsymbol{ heta})]_{ij}$ Linear in N

• Study
$$rac{1}{N}[I(oldsymbol{ heta})]_{ij}$$

- But $\|\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Tp}} - \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{cTp}}\|^2$ is constant

Loose Derivations

We have
$$\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}(oldsymbol{ heta})
ight)$$

We seek
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{i}}} \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{j}}} \right]$$

We know $\mathbf{C}(oldsymbol{ heta}) = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Sigma}(oldsymbol{ heta}) \mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{H}}$

• So $[I_{\mathrm{cTp}}({m{ heta}})]_{ij} - [I_{\mathrm{Tp}}({m{ heta}})]_{ij}$

does not depend on N

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left[[I_{\rm cTp}(\boldsymbol{\theta})]_{ij} - [I_{\rm Tp}(\boldsymbol{\theta})]_{ij} \right] = 0$$

Argumentation

• $[I(oldsymbol{ heta})]_{ij}$ Linear in N

• Study
$$rac{1}{N}[I(oldsymbol{ heta})]_{ij}$$

- But $\|\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Tp}} - \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{cTp}}\|^2$ is constant

Loose Derivations

We have $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}(oldsymbol{ heta})
ight)$

We seek
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{i}}} \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{j}}} \right]$$

We obtain
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Sigma^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \Sigma(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \Sigma^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \Sigma(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} \right]$$

Loose Derivations

We have
$$\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}(oldsymbol{ heta})
ight)$$

We seek
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{i}}} \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{j}}} \right]$$

We obtain
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Sigma^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \Sigma(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \Sigma^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \Sigma(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} \right]$$

Manipulation $[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{1}{\Sigma_n^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial \Sigma_n(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\partial \Sigma_n(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} \right]$

Loose Derivations

We have $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{C}(oldsymbol{ heta})
ight)$

We seek
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{i}}} \mathbf{C}^{-1}(\theta) \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{\mathbf{j}}} \right]$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{We obtain} & [I(\boldsymbol{\theta})]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_i} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} \right] & \text{Some function} \\ \\ \text{Manipulation} & [I(\boldsymbol{\theta})]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{1}{\Sigma_n^2(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \frac{\partial \Sigma_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\partial \Sigma_n(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F(\Sigma_n) \end{array}$$

Robert M. Gray: Toeplitz and circulant matrices: A review

Toeplitz and Circulant Matrices: A review

Robert M. Gray

Deptartment of Electrical Engineering Stanford University Stanford 94305, USA

rmgray@stanford.edu

Robert M. Gray: Toeplitz and circulant matrices: A review

Page 55

Theorem 4.2. Let $T_n(f)$ be a sequence of Toeplitz matrices such that $f(\lambda)$ is in the Wiener class or, equivalently, that $\{t_k\}$ is absolutely summable. Let $\tau_{n,k}$ be the eigenvalues of $T_n(f)$ and s be any positive integer. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tau_{n,k}^s = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\lambda)^s \, d\lambda. \tag{4.46}$$

Furthermore, if $f(\lambda)$ is real or, equivalently, the matrices $T_n(f)$ are all Hermitian, then for any function F(x) continuous on $[m_f, M_f]$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F(\tau_{n,k}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(f(\lambda)) \, d\lambda. \tag{4.47}$$

Toeplitz and Circulant Matrices: A review

Robert M. Gray

eptartment of Electrical Engineering Stanford University Stanford 94305, USA ragray@stanford_edu









Toeplitz and Circulant Matrices: A review

Robert M. Gra

Reptartment of Electrical Engineering Stanford University Stanford 94305, USA rmgray@atanford.edu

Robert M. Gra





Toeplitz and Circulant Matrices: A review

Robert M. Gra

eptartment of Electrical Engineering Stanford University Stanford 94305, USA rmgrav@stanford_edu



Matrices: A review

Robert M. Gray

t of Electrical Eng Stanford University Stanford 94305, US/



Toeplitz and Circulant Matrices: A review

Robert M. Gra

eptartment of Electrical Engineering Stanford University Stanford 94305, USA rmgrav@stanford_edu



Toeplitz and Circulant Matrices: A review

Robert M. Gra

eptartment of Electrical Engineering Stanford University Stanford 94305, USA rmgrav@stanford_edu



Toplitz and circulant matrices: A reviewToplitz and Circulant Matrices: A reviewPage 55
$$[I(\theta)]_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{1}{\Sigma_n^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial \Sigma_n(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\partial \Sigma_n(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} \right] = \frac{N}{2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} F(\Sigma_n)$$
Image: Second Second







