

---

# Mathematical Cryptology 2013

Dept. of EIT  
Lund University  
Box 118, 221 00 Lund, Sweden

## WEEK 4,

---

### Reading instructions:

- Chapter 14, 15
- Chapter 16 (read through)

There will be 4 hours of lectures covering the above.

### Hand-in exercises:

- 1) Review exercises: 14.1-14.6, 15.1-15.6
- 2) Standard exercises: 14.7, 15.7-15.10
- 3) Programming exercise: (from before: 2.12 (maximum 5 hours))

Hand in no later than **March 1, 2013**.

---

**Ch 14: Review exercises:**

- 14.1 Explain the man in the middle attack on the Diffie-Hellman key exchange algorithm.
- 14.2 Why do digital signature algorithms solve the problem raised by the man in the middle attack?
- 14.3 Why does a MAC not provide non-repudiation?
- 14.4 Describe the DSA signature algorithm, and describe where the security comes from.
- 14.5 What are the advantages of Schnorr signatures over DSA?
- 14.6 Explain the similarities and differences between the Diffie-Hellman protocol and the MQV protocol.

**Ch 14: Standard exercises:**

- 14.7 Show that if a user uses the same ephemeral key  $k$  to sign two different messages in the DSA algorithm then an attacker can recover their long term private key.

**Ch 15: Review exercises:**

- 15.1 What is the maximum and average number of multiplications in the basic binary exponentiation algorithm?
- 15.2 Why is the smallest possible Hamming weight of an RSA public exponent equal to two?
- 15.3 What stops one using signed exponentiation technique in an RSA implementation?
- 15.4 Why is Karatsuba multiplication rarely used in an RSA implementation?
- 15.5 What is meant by Montgomery representation and why does it offer advantages in systems implementing algorithms such as RSA?
- 15.6 In algorithms for finite fields of characteristic two, why does one use an analogue of Karatsuba multiplication and not Montgomery multiplication?

**Ch 15: Standard exercises:**

- 15.7 Assume modular multiplication of a  $k$ -bit number requires  $k^2$  operations. How much faster is two 512-bit modular exponentiations by 512-bit exponents, compared to a single 1024-bit modular exponentiation by a 1024-bit exponent? Conclude that the CRT method for RSA decryption and signing is more efficient.

- 15.8 Suppose a device uses CRT to speed up RSA decryption or signatures, i.e. it computes

$$m_p = c^d \pmod{p-1} \pmod{p},$$

$$m_q = c^d \pmod{q-1} \pmod{q},$$

and then computes  $m$  from  $m_p$  and  $m_q$  via CRT. However, an attacker manages to get the device to compute  $m_p$  incorrectly. Show that if  $m_q$  is still computed correctly then the attacker can use this broken device to recover the private key.

- 15.9 Show that the RSA encryption and decryption algorithms can be implemented in  $O(n^3)$ -bit operations, where  $n$  is the bit length of the modulus  $N$ .
- 15.10 Show that ElGamal encryption requires about  $2 \log p$  multiplications modulo  $p$ . Hence deduce its bit complexity is  $O((\log p)^3)$ .