





#### How does routing work?



#### Packet-switched Routing

Choosing an optimal path

- According to a cost metric
- Decentralised forwarding
  - each router has full/necessary information



LIJIUJ/LIJIIU IIICIIICIIIUUUUJ

#### Routing in Packet Switching Networks

- Select route across network between end nodes
- Characteristics required:
  - Correctness
  - Simplicity
  - Robustness vs Stability
  - Fairness vs Optimality
  - Efficiency



#### Router



- Internetworking device
  - Passes data packets between networks
  - Checks Network Layer addresses
  - Uses Routing/forwarding tables



#### **Router Architecture Overview**



#### Input Port



 Goal: complete input port processing at 'line speed'

### Input Port Queuing

- Fabric slower that sum of input ports  $\rightarrow$  queuing
- Delay and loss due to input buffer overflow
- Head-of-the-Line (HOL) blocking: Datagram at front of queue prevents others in queue from proceeding



#### **Output Port**



#### **Priority Scheduling:**

• Scheduling discipline may choose among queued datagrams for transmission

#### **Output Port Queuing**

- Datagrams' arrival rate through the switch exceeds the transmission rate of the output line → buffering
- Delay and loss due to output port buffer overflow







#### **Routing Tables and Forwarding Table**

#### Router cache

- Save next hop for packet type (e.g. addr and TOS)
  - Keep packets within a session on the same path
  - Prohibits reordering
  - decreases delay variations
- Works in both directions
  - Reply take the same path as request
- Drawback: for long sessions (e.g. video) session continuity might be broken if link fails (e.g. mobility)
- Typical for user networks

#### Performance Criteria

- Used for selection of route
- Simplest is to choose "minimum hop"
- Can be generalized as "least cost" routing
- Because "least cost" is more flexible it is more common than "minimum hop"



# Flooding

- In Flooding an incomming packet is retransmitted on all outgoing links. A hop counter is used to prevent loops
- What are the alternatives to find the least cost path.



## Best Path: Decision Time and Place

#### Decision time (when?)

- Packet or virtual circuit (session) basis
- Fixed or dynamically changing

#### Decision place (where?)

- Distributed made by each node
  - More complex, but more robust
- Centralized made by a designated node
- Source made by source station

# Network Information Source and Update Timing

- Routing decisions usually based on knowledge of network, traffic load, and link cost
  - Distributed routing
    - Using local knowledge, information from adjacent nodes, information from all nodes on a potential route
  - Central routing
    - Collect information from all nodes

#### Issue of update timing

- Depends on routing strategy
- Fixed never updated
- Adaptive regular updates

## **Routing Strategies - Fixed Routing**

- Use a **single permanent** route for each source to destination pair of nodes
- Determined using a least cost algorithm
- Route is fixed
  - Until a change in network topology
  - Based on expected traffic or capacity
- Advantage is **simplicity**
- Disadvantage is lack of flexibility
  - Does not react to network failure or congestion

#### **Routing Strategies - Adaptive Routing**

- Used by almost all packet switching networks
- Routing decisions change as conditions on the network change due to failure or congestion
- Requires information about network

#### Disadvantages

- More complex
- Tradeoff between quality and overhead
- Too quick updates may lead to oscillations
- Too slow updates may lead to outdates information

## Link cost

- A *cost function* describes the cost for transmitting a packet over a link
- The link cost can depend on e.g.
  - Data rate
  - Load
  - Length
  - Transmission media
  - etc

#### Graf

A network can be described by a graph, consisting of nodes (N) and adges (E) with weights w(e), i.e. costs. **Example** (undirected graph)



Ε	w(e)
AB	3
AD	1
BC	1
BD	1
BE	1
CE	3
DE	3

#### ARPANET Routing Strategies 1st Generation

#### **Distance Vector Routing**

- 1969
- Distributed adaptive using estimated delay
  - Queue length used as estimate of delay
- Version of **Bellman-Ford** algorithm
- Node exchanges delay vector with neighbors
- Update routing table based on incoming information
- **Doesn't consider line speed**, just queue length and responds slowly to congestion

#### Least cost alg 1 Bellman-Ford

- Find the shortes path from one source node *s* to the others.
- Let d(n) be the cost from s to n

```
Init:

d(s) = 0
d(n) = \infty, n \neq s
for i = 1 to |N| - 1

for each n \in N

d(n) = \min_{u \in N} \{d(u) + w(u, n)\}
// Find the shortest path from

// node u to node n in one step
```

• Addition: Keep track of the path!!

#### **Example Bellman-Ford**



Nod	Α	В	C	D	E
init	0	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$
i=1	0	3	$\infty$	1	$\infty$
i=2	0	2	4	1	4
i=3	0	2	3	1	3
i=4	0	2	3	1	3

#### Net graph as a tree





#### Distant vector for A when the algorithm converged

Nod	Dist
А	0
В	2
С	3
D	1
E	3

#### Bellman-Fords algoritm grafiskt





Jmf Stallings kap 19.2

#### **Distance Vector Routing**

- Best path info **shared** locally
  - Periodically
  - Upon any change
- Routing tables **updated** for
  - New entries
  - Cost changes

## Updating a Routing Table



# Updating Algorithm (Bellman-Ford)

if (advertised destination not in table)  $\left\{ \right.$ add new entry // rule #1 } else if (adv. next hop = next hop in table){ update cost // rule #2 } else if (adv. cost < cost in table)replace old entry // rule #3 }

## **Completed Routing Tables**



# Problem: Count to Infinity <sup>(1)</sup>

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Problem: Count to Infinity <sup>(2)</sup>

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Solution: Split Horizon

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

## ARPANET Routing Strategies 2nd Generation

#### **Link-State Routing**

- 1979
- Distributed adaptive using **delay** criterion
  - Using timestamps of arrival, departure and ACK times
- Re-computes average delays every 10 seconds
- Any changes are flooded to all other nodes
- Re-computes routing using **Dijkstra's algorithm**
- Good under light and medium loads
- Under heavy loads, little correlation between reported delays and those experienced

## Least cost alg 2 Dijkstra

- Find the shortes path from one source node *s* to the others.
- Let d(n) be the cost from s to n

```
Init:d(s) = 0d(n) = \infty, n \neq sV = \emptysetV = \emptysetwhile V \subset Eu = \arg\min_{u \notin V} d(u)V = V \cup uV = V \cup ufor n \notin Vd(n) = \min\{d(n), d(u) + w(u, n)\}
```

• Addition: Keep track of the path!!

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Dijkstra tabell

Besökt	L(A)	L(B)	L(C)	L(D)	L(E)
$\phi$	0	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$	$\infty$
{A}		3:A	$\infty$	1:A	$\infty$
{A,D}		2:D	$\infty$		4:D
{A,D,B}			3:B		3:B
{A,D,B,C}					3:B
{A,D,B,C,E}					

## Link State Routing

- Local topology info **flooded** globally
  - Periodically
  - Upon any change
- Routing tables updated for
  - Link state changes
  - Cost changes

### Initial Link State Knowledge

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Tree Generation Algorithm (Dijkstra)

```
put yourself to tentative list
while tentative list not empty
   {
   pick node which can be reached
             with least cumulative cost
   add it to your tree*
   put its neighbours to tentative list**
             with cumulative costs to reach them
   }
                         *(a.k.a. permanent list)
                          **(if not already there)
```

## **Building a Shortest Path Tree**

- After flooding
- Take: A

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

	Node	Cost	Next Router
	А	0	
	В	5	
y	С	2	. <u> </u>
	D	3	
	Е	6	С

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **ARPANET** Routing Strategies **3rd Generation**

- 1987  $\bullet$
- Link cost calculation changed
  - Dampen routing oscillations
  - Reduce routing overhead
- Measure average delay over last 10 seconds and transform into link utilization estimate
- Calculate average utilization based on current value and previous average  $U(n+1) = \frac{1}{2}\rho(n) + \frac{1}{2}U(n)$
- Use as link cost a function based n the average utilization

## Autonomous Systems (AS)

- Exhibits the following characteristics:
  - Is a set of routers and networks managed by a single organization
  - Consists of a group of routers exchanging information via a common routing protocol
  - Except in times of failure, is connected (in a graphtheoretic sense); there is a path between any pair of nodes

Interior Router Protocol (IRP) Interior Gateway Protocol (IGP)

- Shared routing protocols passes routing information between routers within an AS
- Custom tailored to specific applications and requirements
- Examples:
  - Routing Information Protocol (RIP)
  - Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

## Exterior Router Protocol (ERP) Exterior Gateway Protocol (EGP)

- Protocol used to pass routing information between routers in different ASs
- Will need to pass less information than an IRP
  - To transmit a datagram from a host in one AS to a host in another AS, a router in the first system need only determine the target AS and devise a route to get into it
  - Once the datagram enters the target AS, the routers within that system can cooperate to deliver the datagram
  - The ERP is not concerned with details of the route
- Examples:
  - Border Gateway Protocol (BGP)
  - Open Shortest Path First (OSPF)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

## **Routing Algorithms and Protocols**

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Distance-Vector Routing**

- Requires that each node exchange information with its neighboring nodes
  - Two nodes are said to be neighbors if they are both directly connected to the same network
- Used in the first-generation routing algorithm for ARPANET
- Each node maintains a vector of link costs for each directly attached network and distance and next-hop vectors for each destination
- Routing Information Protocol (RIP) uses this approach

# **RIP (Routing Information Protocol)**

- Included in BSD-UNIX Distribution in 1982
- Distance metric:
  - # of hops (max 15) to destination network
- Distance vectors:
  - exchanged among neighbours every 30 second via Response Message (advertisement)
- Implementation:
  - Application layer protocol, uses UDP/IP

#### RIP update message

- Contains the whole forwarding table
- Action on reception:
  - Add 1 to cost in received message
  - Change next hop to sending router
  - Apply RIP updating algorithm

• Received update msgs identify neighbours!

## **RIP: Link Failure and Recovery**

- If no advertisement heard after 180 seconds
  - Neighbour/link declared dead
  - Routes via neighbour invalidated (infinite distance = 16 hops)
  - New advertisements sent to neighbours (triggering a chain reaction if tables changed)
  - "Poison reverse" used to prevent count to infinity loops
  - "Good news travel fast, bad news travel slow"

## **Routing Algorithms and Protocols**

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Link-State Routing

- When a router is initialized, it determines the link cost on each of its network interfaces
- The router then advertises this set of link costs to all other routers in the internet topology, not just neighboring routers
- From then on, the router monitors its link costs
- When there is a significant change, the router advertises its link costs to all other routers
- The OSPF protocol is an example
- The second-generation routing algorithm for ARPANET also uses this approach

#### Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) Protocol

- RFC 2328 (Request For Comments)
- Used as the interior router protocol in TCP/IP networks
- Computes a route that incurs the least cost based on a user-configurable metric
- Is able to balance loads over multiple equalcost paths

# **OSPF (Open Shortest Path First)**

- Divides domain into areas
  - Limits flooding for efficiency
  - One "backbone" area connects all
- Distance metric:
  - Cost to destination network

## Areas, Router and Link Types

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Graph

Network topology expressed as a graph

- Routers
- Networks
  - Transit, passing data through
  - Stub, not transit
- Edges
  - Direct, router to router
  - Indirect, router to network

![](_page_57_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Link State Advertisements

- What to advertise?
  - Different entities as nodes
  - Different link types as connections
  - Different types of cost

![](_page_59_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Router Link Advertisement**

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Network Link Advertisement

- Network is a passive entity
  - It cannot advertise itself

![](_page_61_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Summary Link to Network

- Done by area border routers
  - Goes through the backbone

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### External Link Advertisement

• Link to a single network outside the domain

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Hello message

- Find neighbours
- Keep contact with neighbours: I am still alive!
- Sent out periodically, typically every 10 second
- If no hellos received during holdtime (typically 30 seconds), neighbour declared dead.

• Compare RIP update messages

# **Routing Algorithms and Protocols**

- Interior and Exterior Router Protocols
- Distance vector
  - Bellman-Ford
  - Announce whole table to neighbors
  - RIP
- Link State
  - Dijkstra
  - Announce neighbor connections to whole network
  - OSPF