



LUND  
UNIVERSITY

# Information Theory

Lecture 4

Huffman coding

---

STEFAN HÖST



# Optimal codeword length

---

## Theorem

*Given a random variable  $X$ , the average codeword length for an optimal  $D$ -ary prefix code satisfies*

$$H_D(X) \leq L < H_D(X) + 1$$

*with equality to the left if and only if  $\ell_x = \log_D p(x)$ .*

# Huffman code

## Algorithm for binary Huffman code ( $D = 2$ )

To construct the code tree:

1. Sort the symbols according to their probabilities.
2. Let  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ , with probabilities  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ , respectively, be the two least probable symbols
  - Remove  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  from the list and connect them in a binary tree.
  - Add the root node  $\{x_i, x_j\}$  as one symbol with probability  $p_{ij} = p_i + p_j$  to the list.
3. If one symbol in the list  
    STOP  
    Else  
    GOTO 2

# Optimal codes

---

## Three observations for an optimal prefix code

- In an optimal code codewords with high probability should be shorter than codewords with low probability, i.e.

$$p_i < p_j \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ell_i \geq \ell_j$$

- In the tree for an optimal code there are no unused leaves.
- The two least probable codewords are of equal length, and can be constructed such that they differ only in the last bit.



# Optimal codes construction

## Construction of an optimal code

- Consider a code with  $k$  codewords.
- Assume  $x_k$  and  $x_{k-1}$ , with probabilities  $p_k$  and  $p_{k-1}$ , are the two least probable codewords, and that their codewords differ only in the last bit.
- Define a reduced code with  $k - 1$  codewords where  $x_k$  and  $x_{k-1}$  are replaced by their parent node  $\tilde{x}_{k-1}$ , with probability  $\tilde{p}_{k-1} = p_k + p_{k-1}$ .

The first code is optimal if the reduced code is optimal.

Iterate until two codewords. Then an optimal code is given by  $y_1 = 0$  and  $y_2 = 1$ .

# Optimality of Huffman codes

---

## Theorem

*A binary Huffman code is an optimal prefix code.*

That is, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a binary Huffman code, and  $\mathcal{C}'$  is any other prefix code for the same set, then

$$L(\mathcal{C}) \leq L(\mathcal{C}')$$



# Huffman code of vectors

---

Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$  be a vector of  $N$  i.i.d. random variables,  $X_j$ .  
Encode the vectors with a Huffman code,

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \xrightarrow{\text{Huffman}} \mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{\ell_{\mathbf{x}}^{(N)}})$$

The average codeword length is

$$H(X_1, \dots, X_N) \leq L^{(N)} < H(X_1, \dots, X_N) + 1$$

The average codeword length per symbol,  $L = \frac{1}{N}L^{(N)}$ ,

$$H(X) \leq L < H(X) + \frac{1}{N}$$

In the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , the average codeword length is  $L = H(X)$

# Huffman code of vectors

## Example of codeword length

A vector of  $N$  i.i.d. symbols is encoded using a Huffman code. For each symbol  $p(0) = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $p(1) = \frac{3}{4}$ . The average codeword length per symbol is

